


IELTS Writing Task 2 Sample 4


Topic: Crime and Punishment





Take IELTS Full-Length Practice Tests









Kindly Subscribe to our YouTube Channel to help us grow.

Access high-quality IELTS prep video resources to prepare efficiently.









Writing Task 2 Sample 4

Crime and Punishment IELTS Essay 1

Some people believe that there should be fixed punishments for each type of crime. Others, however, argue that the circumstances of an individual crime, and the motivation for committing it, should always be taken into account when deciding on the punishment. Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8:

Most countries around the world have specific sanctions for each type of crime. However, other people believe that while penalising victims, the context, circumstances, and motivation should also be considered. There are several points of view, but I am of the opinion that there should be a predetermined sentence for each crime.

To begin with, equality is the most important factor that any democracy's court adheres to. We live in a modern world where everyone has equal rights and the law applies to everyone equally. All democracies adhere to their constitutions, which are the same for all citizens. Treating the same crime differently results in hypocrisy and disrespect for the judiciary and the constitution. It even creates unease and a sense of inequity among citizens.

Furthermore, factors like motivation and environment can be changed to mislead the judge. It will be difficult for the judiciary to keep track of each type of case, and if such rules are implemented, it will be more akin to a monarchy or dictatorship. Criminals may exploit these gaps in the legislation to mislead the court by presenting an incorrect circumstance.









On the other hand, it is feasible that the same punishment for a similar crime will be unfair at times. Some crimes, most likely big crimes, that occur in a wholly different setting should be punished differently. A planned murder, for example, should be punished differently than an accident. Instead of making people feel unsafe, these laws will allow them more freedom.

To conclude and offer my position, crime should be fixed for all types of punishment, as this is the best way to keep the system running. Accountability and simplicity will be maintained, as will citizen equality.

(285 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Since the crime rate has increased over the contemporary era, some people believe that there should be a standard penalty for all offences. However, some are of the notion that the severity of the offence and the circumstances should be considered before any punishment is handed out. In my perception, I firmly agree with the latter viewpoint. My preference is justified further.

Supporters of the former viewpoint state that fixed sanctions are appropriate when just the consequences of each sort of crime are considered. Fixed penalty may serve to remind people of the punishment they will receive. These would deter some people from committing crimes. For example, robbery would be subject to a specific punishment because it not only affects the victim but also spreads terror throughout society. Fixed punishment will have a communitywide deterrent effect. Furthermore, the penalty, such as a fine, must be correct. This deterrent effect also aids society with stability and security by reducing the amount of crimes committed.

Proponents of the latter viewpoint state that, some portion of a crime committed by an individual, whether on purpose or in self-defence. It is important to consider the context and the reasons for the crime when determining a fair sentence. The accused should have the opportunity to explain to the court or jury why he committed the offence for which he is on trial. This means that the court has the option of considering the offender's motivations before deciding on a punishment.



IELTS Prep Video Resources









To sum up and offer my position, I believe a killing committed in self-defense cannot be compared to a murder. The murderer should receive a predetermined and final penalty. As a result of the power of the law, there will be fewer criminals in our society. (290 words)

Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

Many people are adamantly opposed to mandatory punishment for all criminals, regardless of severity. Personally, I believe that the reason for a crime should always be considered before a final conviction is issued. My stance is justified below.

To begin with, if there is a predetermined penalty for every crime, all killers will face the same punishment. This system will surely boost judgmental effectiveness. Because the punishment for each offence is already stated in the law books, judges only need to pronounce the verdict. There will also be fewer problems with the law when punishments are consistent with previously set regulations.

Unfortunately, the reasons in favour of a fixed sentence are not as compelling as they appear. Circumstances virtually always influence human behaviour. Crime is no exception. Two murders with quite different motivations are possible. Perhaps one of them intended to kill, while the other was merely attempting to defend himself from the aggressor and accidentally killed. If there are predetermined consequences for murder, they will both receive the same punishment. This is unfair. It is also unjust to subject minors to the same punishment as adults. As a result, it is clear that serious ethical difficulties may arise if the consequences for each offence are predetermined.

To recapitulate, when establishing the proper sentence, the court, in my opinion, should consider both the gravity of the offence and the circumstances that led to it. Finally, imposing a fixed punishment for each offence is unreasonable because no two people who do the same crime have identical intentions.

(256 words)









Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

A large portion of the population holds the view that certain crimes should carry more severe penalties than others, while others argue that the context and intent of a crime should always be taken into account when determining a suitable response. In my opinion, each of these possibilities have advantages that strengthen the legal system and contribute to public safety.

On one hand, a fixed punishment has several positive outcomes. First, having a predetermined penalty for a specific type of crime might serve as a deterrent to others and serve as an example to others who might consider engaging in illegal activity. As a result, the crime rate goes down, bringing peace and security to the area, and the government has an easier time exerting its authority over the country.

On the other hand, unintended circumstances, such as self-defence, frequently lead to the commission of a crime. While it's apparent that all wrongdoers should face consequences for their actions, there are times when a man must take potentially dangerous measures to safeguard his human rights. In 2009, for instance, a teacher in the UK fatally shot a terrorist to save her children and coworkers. These should be treated as exceptional circumstances that call for a lesser penalty than the death penalty rather than its application.

To conclude, consequences are established not merely to deter bad actors and maintain peace and order, but also to shield the community's good citizens. Therefore, consistent punishment is a useful instrument for the legal system to secure its inhabitants; nevertheless, it is suggested that the context of each crime and the motivation of the offender be considered as well.

(274 words)









Living in a country where you have to speak a foreign language can cause serious social problems. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Include any relevant examples from your own experiences or expertise, as well as your reasoning for your choice.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Some may say that there are inherent difficulties with socialization and practical concerns that arise when one moves to a nation where speaking a language different than one's native tongue is mandated. From a purely personal standpoint, I wholeheartedly support this speculation. When moving to a new place where you must adapt your speech, you may encounter a number of social challenges.

First, they may have trouble integrating into local society if the bulk of locals is elderly and suspicious of anyone who doesn't speak their original mother tongue. Second, it becomes more challenging to make friends, because individuals are more comfortable interacting with those who share their language and culture.

Practically, there are several contexts in which a person's inability to speak a foreign language fluently has been a significant challenge. For instance, there is a great deal of misunderstanding at work, which may eventually cause people to base their judgements on erroneous information. As a result, the employee may suffer catastrophic consequences. Similar issues arise when people engage in typical daily interactions, such as while shopping. Many foreigners confessed that they had difficulty expressing their needs clearly to merchants, and as a result of their exhausting attempts, they tend to avoid engaging in more difficult talks.

In conclusion, it is indisputable that living in a nation where you must substitute your native tongue with another language has detrimental implications. In addition to hindering your capacity to assimilate into a new culture and establish new friends, it also jeopardizes your career and makes your everyday routines more demanding. I firmly feel that this remark is correct and that we should attract greater attention to it. (276 words).

05







Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Migrating and visiting other countries are two of the most prevalent activities nowadays. Because learning a new language is challenging, people often struggle with serious social and practical social difficulties, such as communicating with others and doing everyday tasks.

It's important to remember that culture shock is a significant source of conflict in interpersonal relationships. The language barrier is one of the causes of this issue. It can be difficult for expats to make friends in a new country since locals tend to talk about a broad variety of topics, not just the food and the weather. Some people claim that even with formal language training, they still struggle to interact fluently with native speakers. Because of this, people tend to avoid forming strong relationships with neighbours and instead keep to themselves inside the confines of their own community.

In addition, I believe that one's inability to speak the regional accent may limit their opportunities to get a job in the area. Even for low-paying professions like filling out waivers, having a high degree of language ability is required in order to secure employment in a foreign country. As a direct consequence of this, a significant number of immigrants struggle financially. The problem is not with the routine of everyday life. If you know very little or nothing at all about the local language, it may be difficult to understand the contents of packaged things, such as food cans or cartons, as well as their instructions for preparation, which may cause you to consume a diet that is less varied.

In brief, I concur with the statement that it is tough on both a social and a practical level to live in a nation where there is little access to the native language.

(293 words)









Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

Most individuals wish to move overseas in this era of fast development to improve their language skills, get a better career, and experience different cultures. If people travel to a distant nation, they may have trouble learning a new language, making friends, and obtaining a job. Speaking a foreign language affects people's living conditions and social life, therefore those who move abroad face various challenges. Speaking that language while living abroad can cause two big issues, in my opinion.

To do even the most fundamental tasks and become fluent in the necessary language, expats must engage in frequent conversation with locals. Whoever travels overseas may find this very challenging. Many studies show that people in communities where members are required to learn a new language quickly adapt to their new surroundings and are able to lead fulfilling lives without constant linguistic challenges.

Finding work can also be difficult if you are required to speak a language that is not your native tongue, which brings us to our second point. People who are foreigners in a country may have a more difficult time finding work there, which can lead to feelings of depression and anxiety. On the other hand, people who are accustomed to speaking a foreign language may have an easier time finding work, because employers prefer to collaborate with nations that speak more than one accent.

When these considerations are added together, it becomes clear that persons who are forced to communicate in a foreign tongue often have significant difficulties. They may be limited in their professional and social options without learning this language, but the rewards for doing so are substantial.

(273 words)









Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

Any region's cultural identity cannot exist without its native tongue. If you are a foreigner who lives in another country, you need to be familiar with the language and culture of that country; otherwise, you will suffer penalties not just socially but also practically. This article takes the position that this idea is correct for the reasons that are presented below.

Foreigners who travel far from home often encounter accent-related problems. They can't understand locals, which leads to misunderstandings and wrong orders. Non-native speakers who can't communicate at work may cause complications. Because of our social nature, we can't maintain healthy mental and emotional states without the company of others. In addition, developing our social skills requires regular interaction with other people, and interpersonal skills have always been an integral aspect of effective communication. For this reason, our professional and personal lives may suffer if we are unable to express ourselves freely in this setting.

The development of a nation's economy is directly correlated to the prevalence of its vernacular language. If you do not know the local language, you will not be able to get work in the area, and without a job, you will not be able to stay alive in that location. All of the local stores and all of the local sellers only speak their original language.

In conclusion, If someone is not able to speak a new language, it will have ramifications not only emotionally but also economically. This is my perspective, and of course, there is some validity to the notion that one should know how to speak a foreign language.

(268 words)





Many offenders commit more crimes after serving their first punishment. Why is this happening and what measures can be taken?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Undoubtedly, criminals tend to commit more offences after serving their initial sentence. This is because there are not enough rehabilitation facilities to incorporate these people to lead normal lives. This essay will explore how a dearth of settlement services encourages criminal behaviour and propose remedies to the problem.

One of the most significant aspects is how society treats them. Despite their genuine repentance, many individuals have not forgotten the misdoings of many criminals. This makes it impossible for them to return to the community. Consequently, they will likely continue living a life of crime. Unemployment is an additional issue that requires consideration. After completing their sentence, they urgently seek employment to stabilise their lives. Despite their diligence and intelligence, however, a large number of businesses and factories deliberately do not hire persons with poor resumes. Therefore, they must reluctantly recommit and have the highest probability of becoming bars once more.

To address this issue, the authority should initially implement vocational training programmes in prison. This solution could feasibly kill two birds with one stone. While receiving an education in prison, inmates can make up for the lost practical experience. In addition to the substantial knowledge imparted in prison, inmates also learn how to manage their behaviour, which aids their reintegration into society and job search. In addition to securing long-term employment, a feasible solution to this issue would be to implement rules that support recently released individuals so that they can take the opportunity to work like any other citizen.





09



Next, the public must eliminate deeply established attitudes that prevent sinners from rejoining contemporary society. Numerous scientists and psychologists have demonstrated since the beginning that genuine respect for one's surroundings breeds sinners. In the Netherlands, for instance, ex-offenders are welcomed back into society without discrimination, and they are no longer considered potential threats.

In conclusion, it is not simple to resolve this challenging issue immediately. Nevertheless, this obstacle is steadily being resolved, and hopefully, it will be eliminated one day. (330 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Even after serving the first sentence, many offenders commit crimes to sustain themselves in the dominant world. The probable cause might be the absence of rehabilitation facilities and employment aptitudes. To address this recurring issue government and the citizen of the nation should take specific measures. In the forthcoming essay, the difficulties in implementing the remedies and the major causes will be discussed.

To commence with, society and civil rights are taken from the offenders after they are released from prison. They are isolated by the people around them due to fear that they will cause harm to the citizens and they are viewed as dangerous. Moreover, despite being untrustworthy, they suffer problems obtaining employment, bank facilities such as loans, housing and many more. For example, in numerous locations loans and accommodations are given after checking their criminal background and if they have committed any crime the application is rejected or they are insulted.

However, there are numerous measures that should be taken by the government to nullify the issue. Firstly, changing society's perspective is the primary cause, hence the government should organize seminars and podcasts for awareness. In addition to this, criminals could be monitored to prevent them from committing additional crimes. Furthermore, measures such as rehabilitation in prison and allowing the offenders to participate in social work so that the criminal intent could be cut off and they can live their life peacefully.









To conclude, the inability to find employment and trust in society are the major cause and it can be prevented by implementing certain measures such as rehabilitation and giving help to find employment to become self-sufficient.

(271 words)

Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

In the current situation, crime is the most critical problem in every nation as they are soaring dramatically. A group of individuals think that after serving the first sentence the offenders commit additional crimes due to certain reasons. The cause of the issue and the remedies that should be taken are discussed below.

To begin with, criminals may develop pretentious and offensive behaviour due to the difficulties and atmosphere in jail. The reason for this is that the prison system worsens situations such as interaction with really criminal inmates leading them to commit more crimes. Moreover, the offenders are mentally and physically being harassed by other criminals and their mind is affected which eventually causes them to commit more crimes. Secondly, the offender who has received a lengthy sentence is deprived by society. They could be rejected by their own family, friends and society. Hence their behaviour towards people changes and they commit more crimes which eventually leads to addiction.

On the contrary, this problem can be solved if the government prioritise the condition of criminal and help them to rehabilitate from the criminal intent. Eventually, this will help to reduce the crime rate but also helps to prevent the violent behaviour of the individual. Furthermore, the government can help the offenders by giving various kinds of work such as gardening, cleaning, helping elder people and cooking. This may help them to minimise their bad attitudes and can earn respect from society. For instance, some police agencies keep a tiny library which may help criminals to get a job which inmates with a source of entertainment.

To conclude, the society and the authorities of a nation play a major role in developing a person's character. Therefore, rehabilitation and employment ways are the most effective solution to the issue.

(298 words)











Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6

The fact that criminals are still committing crimes after serving their initial prison term has been established. The system for rehabilitation has many holes, and prisons are corrupt. There may be a variety of approaches that can be used to deal with the circumstance.

First-time offenders typically do not commit planned crimes, and they frequently do so unintentionally. They were apprehended by a group of criminals who preyed on convicts with the approval of corrupt politicians when they were mingling with the inmates in the prison. Furthermore, in Sri Lanka, the process of rehabilitation does not finish with the creation of a better member of society because, after receiving their penalty, they were labelled as prisoners who are even unable to get employment, and they are now moving and associating with criminals once more.

As a solution, First-time offenders should be isolated and placed in a better isolation system with some vocational training, such as carpentry, motor mechanic, or train them for some selfemployment to earn money after the sentence, as a solution. First-time offenders should also not be mixed with other criminals. However, since everyone has the potential to make mistakes again, society should accept them as normalised individuals and treat them as regular citizens after they have undergone rehabilitation.

In conclusion, there are numerous steps that can be taken to lower the number of criminals, and the ones described above are the only ones that cost money. However, altering the rehabilitation programme might undoubtedly lower the number of crimes committed by offenders.

(254 words)







Many criminals commit further crimes as soon as they are released from prison. What do you think are the causes of this? What possible solutions can you suggest?

You must utilize your own concepts and understanding, and back your assertions with examples and evidence.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

There is a noticed tendency of those who have been released from prison to violate the law as soon as they can. Even though this social problem has specific causes, it can still be fixed by putting in place appropriate solutions. There are a few main reasons why people who get out of jail strive to commit crimes again.

To begin with, having a criminal record makes it significantly difficult to find work. As a direct consequence of this, criminals lack professional possibilities, and eventually, they do not have any financial assistance. Second, the problem stems from the reality that doing time in prison does not help criminals transform themselves into law-abiding citizens; rather, it has the opposite effect and makes them worse. This is mostly due to the fact that a sentenced person contacts hardened criminals who are knowledgeable of how to be far more dexterous in their unlawful activities. On top of that, people who are incarcerated frequently find that their own families do not accept them, which leads to disappointment and even homelessness.

However, none of these problems are overwhelming and may be greatly avoided by the public sector administration and also by a shift in the public's perception of these offenders. Prisoners must be educated on the useful and appropriate skills available so that they won't try to commit crimes again. The government could put out programs that offer financial aid and hire them for meaningful positions as well. People must also alter their attitudes and perspectives about inmates and criminals and give adequate emotional support.

In conclusion, based on the principles described above, I opine that the public sector and society should be responsible to induce substantial skills and knowledge into ex-convicts so as to prevent the probability of further crimes.

(296 words)









Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5


Many offenders continue their criminality after being released from prison. There may be a few causes for such a commitment, and I think that both the government and the citizens should take action to prohibit them from returning to their past way of life.

The most significant challenge that criminals face upon their release is finding work. They are unable to get work because most employers would not hire people with criminal records, therefore they are unable to support themselves financially. However, if they get back together with their former criminal gangs and get involved in unlawful activity, it will be much simpler for them to get money. As a result, they would engage in other illegal activities with the purpose of making money. Also, we may argue that a lack of work opportunities encourages criminals to commit more crimes after they have served their sentence for their previous crime.

To lessen their propensity for crime, both the government and people should take some actions to address the aforementioned issue. For inmates in the jail, the government may establish any rehabilitation service that allows them to self-correct by contacting a psychologist. For instance, the women's prisons in Norway offer a system that allows inmates to speak with a psychologist once or twice a week in order to better their troubling thoughts. This makes it easier for offenders to break their habits. Furthermore, as members of society, we ought to support them if they wish to work instead of excluding them because of past criminal activity.

To conclude, in order to prevent lawbreakers from engaging in additional criminal activity after being released from jail, both the administration and the public at large must act.

(283 words)







Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

After the release from jail, a considerable number of criminals go on to conduct more offenses. There can be a wide variety of potential reasons for this. I believe that the answer to this problem is to place a greater emphasis on the life of criminals once they are released from jail.

To begin, a lack of control over one's urges can be thought of as the cause of unwanted tendencies. To elaborate, a person who commits crimes like robbing, murdering, or molesting others may develop an addiction to those behaviours. It is the responsibility of the public sector to monitor the psychological well-being of every individual who has been let free. The solution to this conundrum would be to receive recognition from the society as well as financial independence.

One of the most evident reasons why criminals engage in wrongdoing is because of the economic crisis that they are currently facing. The majority of people who commit crimes have never completed high school or even elementary school, so they have no skills that could assist them land a job that pays well. As a consequence of this, engaging in criminal activity once again seems like the simpler and only method for earning livelihood. Because of the role that prisons play in transforming the lives of criminal individuals, the government is required to make significant investments in the training and education of convicts so that they would be able to secure satisfying employment after their release.

To summarize, many convicts feel helpless as well as hopeless once they realize they have to start a new life. Further crimes can be stopped by acceptance and mental health check.

(277 words)







Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

Assimilating convicts into society is among the most contentious issues. Many criminals carry out their crimes after being released from prison. The causes of this and the necessary methods to address it will be covered in this article.

To begin, the issue should be addressed by governmental officials and society as a whole in order to reduce crime. Convicts who have been sentenced to jail must have access to a rehabilitation facility where they can discuss their issues with a licensed psychologist in order to self-correct. These methods assist offenders in changing their harmful behavior and gain some hope to actually think on a different path. If people who break the law want to be able to sustain themselves and pay their debts, we should let them work.

After being imprisoned, unemployment seems to have been a problem for many convicts. Due to the fact that many employers are reluctant to hire them because of their prior criminal histories, they are unable to make a living. However, they would make a tonne of money if they joined forces with criminal organizations once more and engaged in violent crimes. After receiving their imprisonment for a while, criminals are encouraged to act aggressively by a lack of employment possibilities.

In conclusion, both the government municipal institutions and individuals of the nation must play a role in helping offenders reintegrate into society. Governments must rehabilitate and educate them, and citizens must help these people avoid social stigma by supporting them in maintaining new professions and stay motivated to start a new and healthy life.

(261 words)







Some people think that the best way to reduce crime is to give longer prison sentences. Others, however, believe there are better alternative ways of reducing crimes" Discuss both views and give your opinion.

You should use your own ideas, knowledge, and experience, and support your arguments with examples and with relevant evidence.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Nowadays, crime rates are inclined and there has been a discussion on possible steps that could be implemented to tackle the scenario. However, a debate has arisen between two groups of citizens. While the majority thinks that an extended imprisonment would be the best way, some are of the opinion that better options are required to be explored and implemented.

To begin with, long-term incarceration is a practical and effective method of removing criminals from society. Thinking about a long-term prison term will deter someone from committing an offense psychologically. Elaborating on it, they will consider a wretched life in prison before engaging in any unlawful activity. Especially, crimes like murder, rape, or arson which are completely the intention of the criminal deserve severe penalties. Thus, extended prison punishment would work in reducing crime rates.

However, it is not completely acceptable to consider long imprisonment as the best way to tackle the situation. Criminals are humans, after all, any living being is capable of adapting to changes, small or big. Illustrating it, being in jail for a long period can crush them into guilt and aggression, on the other hand, organizing rehab centers or labor training can help them engage themselves in productive activity. This will not only imply productivity but also flexibility in switching aspects of life.







In conclusion, lengthy prison sentences are an effective way to stop people from committing crimes but to reduce the overall crime rate, a change in criminal perception along with a chance to show abilities to do something productive is necessary and effective too. I strongly believe that criminals should be provided with different ways to improve themselves rather than putting an end to his/her life for a long time. (288 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

There are numerous negative effects on the country that could possibly result from rising crime. Long-term incarceration is seen to lessen this occurrence by some, although others claim that rehabilitation may assist lower the ratio. However, I will go over both points of view and then state my position.

To start with, crime rates are a major challenge to society as a whole. We need to identify the contributing elements to crime if we want to lower the rate. Social problems including a lack of possibilities in life, poverty, and low educational attainment might inspire people to engage in an illegal activity instead of just being motivated by malice. Hence exploring different ways to provide at least basic amenities to poor families, especially the criminal or culprits' families could be very helpful. Moreover, charity organizations should be organized and promoted by the public sector, which supports the objectives of the culprits' well-being.

However, there are many benefits of sentencing offenders to a longer prison period. A convincing argument for the incapacitating effect of prison is that while convicted, a criminal cannot commit more crimes in public. Because of the dread that this occurrence can inspire in other criminals, many people think that culprits should be punished with lengthy prison terms. In other words, if someone is informed of the severity of the penalty beforehand, it will deter them from committing any crimes.

To summarize, rates of crime shall be deduced by any means, but more efficient ways could be used rather than long prison sentences. Extended jail punishment can be considered effective for the short term but it cannot be called an idle way to improve the country's position as far as crimes are concerned. Hence, reducing overall crime rates should be done by way of facilitating education and charity. (301 words)











Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

Crime is a major issue that needs to be addressed nationwide, and all nations are working to do so. Whilst others in society feel that longer jail terms can help reduce crime, others disagree and contend that there are alternative, more effective solutions. This essay supports the claim that less harmful alternatives to locking individuals up in a cell include educating them and providing them with the means to support themselves. Both perspectives will be discussed in this essay.

To begin with, many people opine that criminals should be sentenced to imprisonment for a longer time than usual. I think this opinion must have arrived from a victim-conscious perspective where people have either witnessed an illegal deed or have actually been driven by looking at how its consequences affect other people. Basically, the motive behind this opinion is to set a message for other ill-motivated people so that further crimes may stop.

However, I think alternative approaches, including establishing suitable educational facilities and employment opportunities, can address the challenges far more successfully. Setting up a message would not win against setting up hope for similar culprits out there in society. Intricating it, the government should provide education in order to make them aware of their own abilities to achieve things by legal means. A little confidence could lift their hope to give another try to their journey.

In conclusion, Longer prison sentences undoubtedly induce fear in would-be offenders and deter them from committing crimes. However, my opinion is that it is far preferable to eradicate the primary source of crime by offering education and economic possibilities.

(266 words)







Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

The upsurge in crime rates across numerous countries in the twenty-first century is a problem for the entire planet. As a result, dread soon spreads among innocent bystanders, driving them to call for harsher penalties to be meted out to criminals. I place my opinions alongside the alternative methods because some people think that handing offenders lengthier prison terms will be more beneficial.

Longer prison terms for offenders have several advantages. The likelihood that the innocent will remain secure grows considerably the longer offenders who have caused serious harm to others such as theft, violence, arson, and murder are exiled from society. Through a variety of services offered inside, criminals will have the chance to get themselves rehabilitated while they are incarcerated. For instance, individuals should examine their own actions and educate themselves on how to avoid committing crimes again after being freed. Additionally, the lengthy prison term will serve as a deterrent to potential criminals.

Others, though, contend that there are more practical ways to lower crime. They maintain that if their life is spared after committing heinous crimes affecting human life, the tax that the public has already paid will be wasted. Therefore, a death sentence will serve as a potent deterrent to others, which will sharply reduce crime. It does not suggest that these threatening and aggressive individuals would be freed and allowed to roam the inner city. These individuals, in my opinion, may benefit the entire community while they are incarcerated.

In conclusion, arguments are quite convincing for and against lengthy imprisonment. Therefore, the government must investigate the best strategy out of all these excellent arguments in order to defend innocent lives from harsh and careless offenders. I think that the government should be concerned about the root causes and explore more practical and effective ways accordingly.

(301 words)









Crime rates will fall as advances in technology make it easier to detect and prevent crimes. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Because of the continuous development of technology, criminal activities are now more easily detected and prevented. People seem to believe that this development will contribute to reducing crime. I completely agree with this viewpoint because it has enabled continuous surveillance of the streets, restraining the offenders.

Governments can install surveillance cameras in the cities and carefully observe the citizens online from anywhere, as well as on any device. The best examples of these are the developed nations, which have CCTVs installed in almost every street. This has made the criminals aware that they are being watched and scrutinized. They know that there is a nearly 100 percent chance of being recorded and caught. Because offenders are afraid of being caught and arrested, 24-hour surveillance has a significant impact on reducing criminal activity. The authorities can monitor and detect illegal activities early on and prevent them.

In addition, another major benefit of technology is being introduced in the forensic science sector. This is helping to solve these tiring and bothersome cases. For serious and heinous crimes such as murder or rape cases, the forensic department visits the incident site to collect evidence and test it. These reports are made with utmost accuracy and precision with the help of technological devices. Another reason is cyber scam security. Advances in technology have brought better security and assurance against online scams, as well as prevented them.

To sum up, it is obvious that technological development is quite useful in decreasing crime. But it is also true that they have not been eradicated.

(258 Words)









Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

As a consequence of the efficiency of identifying and suppressing criminal behaviours, technological progress is believed to reduce the frequency of criminal activities. I disagree with this point of view because the same technology will let criminals find new ways to do things.

Criminals have always sought methods to escape identification and preventative precautions. The saying, "Where there is a will, there is a way," might be true for these culprits. For example, burglar alarms, security cameras, and police officers stationed at prime locations, including banks and jewellery stores, have almost all failed to control point-blank murders or robberies. The more they are restrained, the more ways to hide evidence are found. They find loopholes and remove all the traces leading up to them. Another reason that these things may increase is the influence of web series. Shows like How to Get Away with Murder, American Crime Story, and so on, heavily influence people, especially young adults.

Moreover, development in technology has brought the internet to every nook and cranny of the country. This has brought about an increase in cyberscams. In the past few years, there has been a steady increase in internet scams. Many people are still unaware of the scammer's tactics. They send fraud links with enticing offers that intrigue people to open them, only for their personal and bank details to be stolen. Over 10 lakh cyberscams were reported just last year.

To conclude, advancement does not always bring out the best situation. Although there are many instances where technology has helped people, it has also made criminal activities peak, especially cyber crimes.

(267 Words)







Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

In recent times, crime has increased, yet technological improvements have made it much easier to identify and reduce crime. In my opinion, recent technological advances have contributed to the decline in crime rates. New types of equipment and inventions aid in their diagnosis and prevention. Cybersecurity is improved as well.

Cybercrime may be compared to an arms race. That is, criminals, continue to develop increasingly sophisticated methods to use their knowledge in exploiting others, but the authorities are often one step behind. However, almost all offenders leave digital footprints. The massive number of cases of cybercrime is a major issue. Law enforcement officials are unable to keep up with the typical, everyday thefts. In addition, the probability of severe cyberattacks on vital systems that are not maintained up-to-date or kept secure is continuing to rise. But there are various techniques and tactics developed by the authorities to detect suspicious activities performed online.

Moreover, to help in everyday unlawful acts, there is advanced and improved equipment such as lie detectors and surveillance cameras. Cameras are motion-detecting; hence, they move around detecting movements in humans. This has enabled the recording of the entire place without blind spots, which the culprits can use to their advantage. Even cyber actions are closely watched by the authorities through the different servers and software systems that are created by top-notch computer experts. Technological development has helped tremendously in the reduction of the crime rate.

To sum up, the advancement in the information technology sector is important because it has not only safeguarded us from virtual attacks but also helped the police in detecting criminals. There are various types of equipment and systems created by the experts themselves to aid the cause.

(285 Words)









Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

Breakthroughs in technology on a global scale play an essential part in the process of defending society from illegal activities. Innovative automation-based gadgets will help to decrease the violation rate by continually recognizing and stopping unlawful behaviours in advance. It will be performed ahead of time. I completely agree with this statement.

To begin with, advancements in science and its subfields are a huge help in identifying a suspect's criminal movements. The investigation and collection of useful information from crime scene CCTV recordings are aided by video surveillance. For instance, all banks have motion-detecting cameras in every corner of the premises, capturing every person present there along with their actions. One of the most important discoveries of modern science is an enhanced cybersecurity system. This gadget can record social media interactions. As modern processes approach their apex, it is plausible to assume that the rate of violence will continue to fall.

Moreover, the use of lie detectors, a relatively new form of technology, is effective in the fight against criminal activity. For example, police officers use this technology, which helps them find suspended liars quickly and solve crimes. As a result, they are intended to assess whether or not the offenders are speaking the truth so that the truth can be exposed soon. Take serial killers into account: a police officer will evaluate their mental condition to determine the details they're hiding and then apply a suitable punishment that prohibits them from escaping jail.

To conclude, in my perspective, with the use of modern facilities, police and private investigators have been able to effectively minimize certain frauds, reduce crime rates, and protect people in recent years.

(276 Words)







Without capital punishment (the death penalty), our lives are less secure and crimes of violence increase. Capital punishment is essential to controlling violence in society. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

Please explain your answer and include any case studies from your own prior experience or knowledge.

Write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

No doubt, there have been numerous debates and discussions regarding the death penalty and the safety of the public at large. There have been differing opinions on the subject. Others believe the death sentence is unnecessary, while others believe it is essential for specific crimes to be punished this way. There are two conflicting opinions that will be discussed in this essay in order to find out which one is more acceptable.

People may assume that the death penalty is necessary because it lessens the severity of criminal acts at first glance. Several years ago in Taiwan and the United States, people were accidentally shot or stabbed by strangers. People feel that applying the death penalty is the most effective way to lessen public anxiety and dissuade criminals, therefore reducing the number of crimes committed. If crime rates are reduced and societal values are upheld, then I believe the death penalty should be utilized.

However, other individuals believe that punishment should not go all the way to death. As a first step, they realize that a short sentence in jail will suffice. They are also aware that, despite the fact that death punishment has been around since the beginning of the law, it has had no impact on curbing crime. There are several ways in which criminals might be put to use for the good of society under government oversight. These criminals may, for example, work in mines, which is a physically difficult task to achieve.

To summarize, both viewpoints seem to be viable since they both have a logical basis. However, for the reasons already indicated, I totally support the final point of view. (274 words).







Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

There is no denying that violent crimes have significantly grown in frequency in recent years. While many people think the death penalty is not the best way to stop this violence, in my opinion, it is very essential.

First and foremost, offenders must fear the repercussions of their behavior. This is significant because for many people, the dread of dying might be overwhelming and incite them to think about their act. Even watching another individual go through the experience makes them reluctant to continue. For instance, Saudi Arabia maintains stringent penalties for violent crimes like murder and rape, which is why the country's crime rate is so low. As a result, knowing they may be executed for their crimes may deter someone from doing them in the first place.

Opponents, on the other hand, assert that there is no solid evidence that the death sentence serves as a deterrent. The rate of violent crimes, including murder, hasn't changed considerably in those nations where the death penalty hasn't been abolished yet. The death penalty, in opponents' view, is neither a cause nor a remedy for a culture of violence. You can't anticipate that two wrongs can make a right since retribution is merely another term for revenge. They also think that supporters downplay the crucial reality that wrongful sentencing might result in the deaths of innocent individuals.

In conclusion, the government should focus more on excellent citizens than on inmates who have hurt society. A violent criminal should not be kept alive. These people should be excluded as quickly as possible to deter others with similar goals.

(266 words).



Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

Globally, delinquency is rising. Some individuals feel capital discipline should be prioritized to help make our lives more secure, while others disagree. This essay agrees with the statement because these types of practices instil fear in the mind of offenders.

To begin with, keeping a safe and secure environment is essential for a healthy society. To keep its people secure, the government should be concerned about crimes committed on its territory. Second, violent offences should be punished according to their weight in the law in order to preserve order, since we need to get ahead of violence. Finally, the statement should serve as an example to others. However, there is always the possibility that the authorities may misinterpret the scenario, which is the most significant component of all. In reality, that is the only aspect that has to be revisited.

Some feel the death penalty should be used to eliminate crime. People commit wrong because they can pay the courts in advance to avoid atrocity forfeiture. Capital punishment reduces social misbehaviour. This torment has no further justifications; thus they must die. These consequences make kids afraid to commit a crime. If the government prioritizes the death sentence, rape will decrease in Asian nations. The country's leadership should always implement the death penalty.

How terrible a misdeed is depends on which category it falls on. If the scandal is rape or murder, they must be executed, but if it's a robbery that doesn't harm anyone, jail time is sufficient. This article argues that legislation should punish evildoers to promote peace, prosperity, and security.

(262 words)









Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

The highest form of punishment meted out to those who commit murder is death. Such severe measures are required to lower crime rates and make it abundantly clear to violent offenders that violence is forbidden by the law. I wholeheartedly concur that without this system, we would be more susceptible to violence, despite any potential drawbacks.

The death penalty is intended to be the severe warning for those who intend to commit similar crimes, reducing the likelihood that such crimes will be repeated in the future. As a result, it lowers crime in society. For instance, criminals who make headlines for crimes like treason, massacres, drug trafficking, etc. will serve as an example to deter other citizens from committing the same crimes. fewer criminals will increase community safety, resulting in a more secure society where people can grow most fully, intellectually and personally.

Finally, enforcing the death penalty will benefit society in the long run for the obvious reason that it will allow the nation to access a significant source of income from tourism and foreign investment. In other words, a lower crime rate will encourage investors from around the world to come to this nation and invest their money. This money can be used to finance a variety of societal endeavors, such as expanding the medical healthcare budget.

In conclusion, any society that wants to lower crime rates and make its environment much safer must implement the death penalty. Making society a safe place will also result in longterm financial gains that are greater.

(255 words)







Crime is a big problem in the world, and nothing can be done to prevent it. To what extent do you agree or disagree? Give your own opinion.

Give explanations and examples from your own knowledge and experience to support your response.

Write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

One of the most perplexing societal issues in the contemporary world is crime. I vehemently disagree with the claim made by some that there is no viable solution to this problem. The essay that follows will outline my viewpoint and look at practical ways to reduce crime.

Crime is a result of the situation. Nobody is a criminal by birth. Society must carefully examine the conditions that breed criminals and work to prevent them. For instance, a person often commits an offense because something is subpar. Therefore, if the government provided for a person's fundamental requirements, such as quality health care, housing, and tertiary education, among other things, the incidences of robbery or other worsening antisocial behavior would be drastically reduced.

The emergence of new technology has made it simpler to proactively monitor criminal activity and stop it before it happens. In other words, since much of this equipment and gadgets can capture video from everywhere at real-time, they have significantly enhanced the surveillance process in police offices. As an example, traffic cameras that capture unlawful behavior and aid in the identification of offenders are one of the best ways to stop drivers who violate the law by driving faster than the posted speed limit. As a consequence, the number of automobile accidents worldwide has significantly decreased during the last few years.







As a result, we are all too aware of this urgent issue of crime. There is a belief that crime cannot be prevented. However, I firmly think that there are several practical answers to this issue, such as governments' support of the death penalty and the use of contemporary technology for proactive surveillance.

(273 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

In today's climate, criminality is unstoppable. Previously, individuals feared punishment, so few participated. Committing a crime isn't a huge issue in the contemporary world. This horrific act is celebrated. So they exhibit social power. Due to misperceptions, incidents have grown in recent years.

After witnessing the 2012 Nirbahaya rape, I understood that hostility and anger can push anybody over the line. After being assaulted and violated, she cannot be able to talk. Mentally tormented, not psychically. Despite their cynicism, they were innocent. This type of behavior is risky. If this continues, individuals will become aggressive and dangerous, which legislation cannot stop. Teenagers are now brazen and opinionated. They often steal. To satisfy their demands, individuals develop bad behaviors that might ruin their lives. In a sense, such individuals can do no wrong.

Every action elicits a reaction that is equal and opposite in kind. The rape case and subsequent demonstrations in Nirbahaya in 2012 affected everyone. It had a profound effect on the system. Nevertheless, the sufferer emerged victorious in the end. The nation commended her for her justice. If you are unable to persuade them to change their minds, you will need to find other methods to make them feel awful. Sanctions for mental torture have to be included in the constitution so that everyone is aware of what others go through.

Even if these circumstances cannot be controlled, laws and regulations must be followed. These instances are closely monitored. Different sites have CCTV cameras. Regular patrols discourage unlawful activity. Human rights and safety regulations should be constantly updated.

(261 words)







Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

Across the globe, crime has become more prevalent in the modern era. As a result, some believe that there are no methods to stop criminal activity. This is a viewpoint with which I strongly disagree, since I feel there are possible answers to this issue.

As a first step, the government may use a variety of smart and effective measures, such as imposing harsher jail terms or even the death penalty on criminals. People are more likely to refrain from criminal activity if they are aware of the harsh consequences that await those who do, as seen by the effectiveness of these effective treatments. Since governments have enforced harsher and longer jail terms on malefactors in recent years, studies have found that the number of wrongdoers has fallen. As a result, governments would be unable to address this issue if urgent measures to combat criminals were not in place.

On the other hand, the vast majority of individuals engage in government in order to reduce crime and protect their communities. Many people would think it was impossible for individuals to safeguard society against crime. People form society, and it is our job to work with the government to keep crime in our community and protect our children from its most terrible and abhorrent manifestations. It's not simple, but we have to figure out a way to make it happen.

The bottom line is that in order to live in a society free of crime, everyone in that society must do their part. This includes governments and the general public.





Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

It is true that criminal activity has had a devastating effect on the world, and many people believe that it is impossible to stop it. In my opinion, this problem can be solved with the help of both government assistance and education. In this essay, I will formulate several ideas to prevent the crimes that are happening in the world.

Lack of parental supervision and instruction is often blamed for the rise in crime. Parents should focus on developing a child's morals in their formative years to reduce unlawful behaviour in the future. Mothers or fathers teach children right from wrong, making them aware of their actions. More parental care reduces irresponsible behaviour. A child who doesn't receive enough care and sharing from his family is more likely to commit a crime than one who does.

Poverty is usually to blame for crimes, and this is thought to be very difficult to resolve. National and international leaders could, however, address this issue by helping those in need. To be more precise, it is suggested that local governments allocate more funds to providing food and clothing to the poor, which will raise people's living standards and, as a result, significantly lower crime rates. Regarding international organizations, less developed nations could benefit from technological advancements, medical advances, or the expertise of developing nations.

Therefore, less fortunate nations can take advantage of such significant assistance to significantly improve their homes, reducing the likelihood of crime. In conclusion, I believe that, despite the presence of crime, this problem could be resolved with the assistance of a loving family and the police.

(278 words)









Many crimes are often related to the consumption of alcohol. Some people think that the best way to reduce the crime rate is to ban alcohol. Do you think this is an effective measure against crime? What other solutions can you suggest?

Give reasons to justify your response and include any relevant examples from your knowledge.

Write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

The crime rate has skyrocketed in recent years, particularly in rural areas. The majority of people believe that the increase is warranted due to alcoholism and that it should be prohibited. Although alcohol prohibition helps reduce crime rates in some ways, I believe other factors contribute to the apparent increase of the same.

I really believe that alcohol prohibition is not a deterrence because there are numerous other elements that may be the cause. The majority of crimes, particularly murder and terrorist attacks, are premeditated and the perpetrator is unaffected. During this period, crimes are also committed, although alcohol is not necessarily a factor. However, prohibiting alcohol will reduce minor crimes significantly. For instance, it will reduce domestic and sexual violence dramatically. Individuals under the influence of alcohol are more likely to commit such offences. This will undoubtedly minimize traffic-related crimes. People who are under the influence of alcohol are incapable of coherent thought. As a result, individuals are able to achieve things they normally would not.

However, alcohol prohibition is not the last resort because alcohol consumption is not the leading cause of crime. To decrease crime rates, it is essential to improve the level of life for all members of society. The majority of offenders come from extremely impoverished families. Some individuals have dysfunctional homes or toxic romantic relationships. If you wish to minimize the crime rate, each of these concerns must be addressed. It would be beneficial to increase employment prospects for college-educated youth and give mental health counseling services.







In conclusion, I believe that prohibiting drugs and alcohol has a small effect on reducir crime, however, educating the public would indeed have a significant impact. If we work together, we can attain true independence for our nation.

(291 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

In recent years, crime rates have skyrocketed, particularly in rural areas. The majority of people believe the increase of problems is due to alcoholism and that it should be prohibited. Although alcohol prohibition helps reduce crime rates in some ways, I believe there are other solutions leading to the betterment of the individuals and society.

Studies have shown that people who are drunk are more likely to commit crimes; therefore, alcohol prohibition can be seen as an effective policy to reduce crime. When a person drinks alcohol, he loses the ability to think clearly, which leads to various offenses. For example, rates of serious crimes such as harassment of women and children at home and at work are on the rise. In most of these cases, the defendant will be an alcoholic. Moreover, due to irresponsible driving, a number of innocent people have lost their lives or been seriously injured in road traffic accidents. Therefore, to avoid such situations, alcohol prohibition can be helpful.

Even though all of the above is true, there are methods to prevent crime that do not involve outlawing things. People can be educated on the effects of alcohol consumption, including how it affects a person's physical and mental health. By stimulating them in little ways, all negative emotions and thoughts can be converted into something constructive. I believe education is the most effective method for eliminating this problem.

Alcohol prohibition may seem like a smart method to lower alcohol-related crime rates, but I believe that better management and spreading awareness in the form of education can be the most effective solution.

(262 words)









Many countries are reporting an increase in alcohol-related crimes due to an increase in the consumption of hard liquors. However, while many people believe that these crimes can be reduced by outlawing the sale of alcohol, I believe that there are more effective methods for reducing alcohol-related crimes.

Alcohol prohibition is not an appropriate method for preventing crime. First, it will cause chaos among the Christian population, as wine consumption for religious purposes is permitted for their faith. Additionally, this procedure cannot alter the criminals' mindset. In modern times, there have been a plethora of instances that support the notion that restricting wine consumption would have a distressing effect on the nation's economy, thereby adding fuel to the fire.

However, the government should be more meticulous with its legislation and increase the number of job openings. In the first place, the lack of employment opportunities compels many individuals to engage in violent behaviour. Moreover, some people may feel depressed when they are unable to find a suitable job, even after completing their education, which puts them under pressure and encourages them to engage in terrorism.

Increasing the price of liquor is a further method for preventing alcohol-related crime. This will require consumers to spend more money for the same quantity, resulting in a decline in consumption. I believe that alcohol prohibition impacts not only those who do not commit crimes after consuming it but also the businesses that sell it. Therefore, I believe that if prices are increased, consumption will likely decrease.

(252 words)



It is true that alcohol use impairs the brain's ability to make decisions. Regarding the frequency of crimes committed while under the influence of alcohol, many individuals believe that its prohibition would enhance the social climate. However, I do not quite concur with this viewpoint.

Initially, a prohibition on alcoholic beverages may reduce the crime rate for a period because the number of criminal acts committed under the influence will decline, but I do not believe this is a viable answer. If a person is addicted to a substance, he or she will attempt to obtain it regardless of the prohibition. For instance, drug sales and purchases are prohibited in the majority of nations, but people nevertheless have access to them since they are sold illegally. It may result in an increase in the crime rate as a result of drug trafficking and unlawful sales and purchases.

However, alcohol prohibition alone will not help. Additional efforts are necessary to minimize the crime rate. One of them is the strict enforcement of law and order. In India, for instance, laws are frequently lenient and there are several loopholes. This must be altered. By speeding criminal proceedings and implementing strict regulations, the government can significantly reduce criminal activity. When individuals understand the consequences of their conduct, they are less inclined to participate in objectionable behaviour.

To conclude, when it comes to the prohibition of alcohol, it might reduce the crime rate, but other policies, such as stricter law enforcement, are more effective at reducing crime. (253 words)

(273 words)







In some societies the number of crimes committed by teenagers is growing. Some people think that regardless of age teenagers who commit major crimes should receive adult punishment. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

The punishment to adolescents for committing crimes is undeniably a contentious matter that has been debated by various people of our society. I believe they should be punished in the same manner. This is a viewpoint with which I strongly concur. I recognise that young individuals over the age of 16 face major penalties for their activities, in my opinion.

To begin with, crime is so awful that punishment was implemented to keep the people safe and improve their lives. This gives people who perpetrated atrocities the opportunity to reconsider their actions and possibly choose the right side. Teenagers are encouraged to commit crimes in these settings because they will face fewer consequences. In a country where drugs are banned, for example, a young man sold something similar, and it wasn't his fault. As a result, regardless of age, adults and children should be penalised equally.

Second, they will discover that their misbehaviour was cruel after punishment. That, in my opinion, is the fundamental reason why not only adults but even young people must go to prison after committing crimes. The greater the penalty, the better for the children. I support the opinions of countless others who have done similar offences. It would also be beneficial to have the same laws all around the world in order to limit the number of cheaters.







In conclusion, because young individuals already understand how a person should behave society, they must be held accountable for their crimes as adults. However, measures to assist teenagers in leading honest lives when they are released must be put in place.

(267 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Nowadays many people are observing that the number of minors committing major crimes is increasing. Some people think that teenagers who commit crimes should be punished like adults. I completely agree with this viewpoint. When juvenile offenders are considered adults and are sentenced to the same punishment, it will have a major impact on other juvenile offenders too.

In many countries, youth are subject to less severe punishment when compared to adults. This gives young people the green flag to do what they want without being held accountable for their actions. In India sometimes, teenagers go unpunished even for the most serious crimes. So if countries implement consequences for youth, they will think twice before breaking the law. Second, some adolescents with particularly aggressive behaviour need to be excluded from society and receive specialized psychological care this will indeed help to prevent such disastrous crimes in the future.

Increasing the severity of punishments will deter other teenagers from committing crimes. In other words, potential young offenders will fear committing a crime because they will be aware of and fearful of the severity of the consequences. This can benefit society and youth in the long run. Regardless of age, a crime is a crime; if minors are given leniency, they will not be aware of the harm they are causing to society. For example, if a college student stabs someone and walks away, he will not understand the seriousness and consequences of his actions.

In conclusion, the problem of increasing juvenile crime can only be solved by providing appropriate punishments regardless of their age.

(263 words)









In some regions of the world, youth-related crime is increasing. Therefore, some say that children who commit severe crimes should be punished in the same way as adults. This perspective is wrong in my opinion because it exposes adolescents to the corrupt influences of the world.

Firstly, the adolescent years are significant turning points in everyone's lives. They are influenced by violent films and lose the ability to discriminate between truth and fiction as a result. Due to the fact that heroes are admired even after committing a crime, they have a tendency to associate with the wrong people and engage in activities that they must subsequently undergo. They lack advice from their parents and elders as a result. For instance, according to a survey conducted by The Times of India, more than 50% of the criminal activities done by adolescents mirrored the actions shown in movies and video games.

Criminals must be punished because they convey the incorrect message to society, but these adolescents should be given a second chance and compensated by society. They should be sent to rehabilitation clinics where they will be taught life-improving skills and techniques. They must be taught the law and how to be responsible citizens. The government should organise all of this at a facility that can alter a child's outlook.

In conclusion, teenagers who violate significant laws should not be punished as severely as adults and in my opinion, this viewpoint should be promoted as punishing them can have adverse effects on youth.

(252 words)









Youth misconduct is on the rise in many communities. Some people nowadays emphasised that young people, regardless of age, who committed horrific crimes, should be severely punished. This essay contradicts the above viewpoint, and the reasons are given in the following lines.

First, it is not fair that youths who violate important laws receive the same punishment as adults. Many young people do not have the same experience as adults and do not fully understand the law and legal procedures. Therefore, it is unfair that these children are punished the same as adults. For example, it would not be appropriate for a 16-year-old child convicted of physical assault or murder case that endangered her friend's life to receive the same sentence as an adult convicted of the same crime. Therefore, juvenile offenders should not face the same punishment as adults.

Additionally, teenagers who are punished as adults may suffer unfavourable consequences in various aspects of life like schooling and education. Adults who break the law are often sent to prison, which can have devastating effects on young children who may be the target of other crimes committed by criminals. For example, in Canada, young criminals are placed in juvenile halls to protect them from the influence of adult offenders. Therefore, when juveniles are convicted as adults, they may be adversely affected by other crimes.

In conclusion, I don't think adult punishment is preferable because some children simply imitate their own example and need their father's direction to regulate this behaviour. Instead of punishing them, the government can organize counselling and awareness services for them.

(264 words)









Under British and Australian laws a jury in a criminal case has no access to information about the defendant's past criminal record. This protects the person who is being accused of the crime. Some lawyers have suggested that this practice should be changed and that a jury should be given all the past facts before they reach their decision about the case. Do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

Write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Some experts recommended a revision to the regulation, allowing the jury to know the outlaws' former lives for the benefit of better judgement. Judicial members are not permitted to view the past defendant wrongdoings under British and Australian law to protect the convicts. Personally, I concur with the viewpoint because a lack of knowledge could result in the condemnation of innocent people. Despite the negative side effects. This essay will clarify the situation and offer proof for its claims.

On the one hand, the past experiences of the guilty protect the innocent. To put it another way, it compiles pertinent prior records that aid the judge members in making better decisions. In Australia, 70% of defendants were imprisoned innocently due to lack of proof, and historical records could answer the problem. Thus, naive people were jailed, and the criminal justice system was unfair. The ruling power took the expert's advice and acquitted the accused.

However, the defendant's prior experiences bring back the deceptive past impression. In case of ambiguity in a panel's conclusion, one's past actions could be considered guilt. Recent studies from Oxford University showed that many innocent people were detained merely for having been in jail before. Thus, the innocent were imprisoned. Furthermore, it is the primary factor determining tenure in a large number of countries.









To sum up, despite certain potential negative effects of the proposed change, it should be permitted so that all convicts' prior transgressions can be viewed in order to avoid any potential misconceptions. I still hold the same opinion, so I urge the local ruling authority to consider what these specialists have to say. (272 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

The jury is not permitted by British or Australian law to view the defendant's prior criminal history. Other attorneys, on the other hand, believe that this law is absurd and ought to be altered. For my part, I support the laws of the United Kingdom and Australia.

First of all, in my opinion, a person's past experiences can predict their current behaviour. The jury does not therefore need to be aware of the past performance. If the jury knows the defendant's past, they may decide based on that rather than the current crime. The jury in the current case can differ from the one in the prior case. Therefore, there is no need to provide the jury all the material up front because each case's circumstances and crime may be unique.

The fact that not every prior judgement was reasonable and fair is a second factor associated with the first. When defending a client in court, the attorneys may engage in a thorough debate regarding what the defendants have done in the past. The jury can also obtain background information at that time. So, in my opinion, there is no reason to grant them additional access.

I concur that it is preferable for a jury in a criminal case to not be given access to the defendant's prior criminal history for the two reasons mentioned above. I think a person's assessment is easily influenced by outside factors, even with complete facts. As a result, the juror must pay close attention to everything said in court and base his conclusion on what he has heard.

(264 words)







The prior criminal background of the accused is not disclosed to the jury in England or Australia. Some attorneys think that giving courts access to all of the defendant's prior records will assist them render fair judgements. I wholeheartedly concur with the statement, and I'll explain why in the sentences that follow.

To avoid making biased conclusions, it is crucial for the bench to be aware of the criminal's prior history. The accused's prior background plays a role in determining the severity of the sentence. For instance, those who conduct crimes repeatedly, such as serial killers and rapists, should face harsher penalties than those who do so while those who do it when under the influence of unfavourable conditions should be given a pass.

Furthermore, if past performance is taken into account, innocent people also gain because their actions won't be misinterpreted. As an illustration, a girl might kill someone to prevent getting raped. In other words, breaching the law in self-defence or other similar situations shouldn't be likened to doing it on purpose. In this situation, the girl's spotless past may be able to keep her from receiving a death or life sentence. The criminal's social background, upbringing, education, temperament, and state of mental health are also shown by their prior history. As a result, the jury may make a clear-cut, sensible verdict without being influenced by the convict's subconscious thoughts.

In conclusion, it is clear from the aforementioned reasons that in order for the jury to render an accurate verdict, they must be made aware of the full history of the accused.

(264 words)







It's sometimes stated that people with prior convictions shouldn't be disclosed since it could serve to shield the defendants, however some lawyers feel that disclosure of criminal history is necessary before making case choices. In my opinion, the historical facts of offenders should be revealed depending on the circumstance.

First off, a ban on accessing defendants' prior criminal records would influence the case's outcome. Lawyers and judges may be unable to make a fair conclusion without defendants' criminal backgrounds. This means that if prosecutors do not realise the perpetrators are repeat offenders, they may apply lighter charges. As a result, since they are not given harsh fines upon release, these criminals may perpetrate crimes once more.

However, there are situations when it is unfair to offenders to disclose all of their past activities because some attorneys might take a snap judgement based on a criminal's prior unfavourable records rather than doing thorough investigations. Some criminals may have been defrauded by others or have committed crimes while they were young, and they have been punished appropriately. These criminal histories should not be taken as a reference to the current criminal case, as they committed crimes while young and innocent. For instance, if a criminal committed a crime when he was a teenager and did it again when he was 30 years old, legal authorities should not take that criminal history into consideration.

In conclusion, even while revealing a criminal's past criminal record may aid attorneys and judges in understanding the criminal's background, I believe the information should be provided based on the circumstances, as some criminal records shouldn't be taken into account.

(271 words)









The crime rate nowadays is decreasing compared to the past due to advanced technology, which can prevent and solve crime. Do you agree or disagree.

Give some examples for the topic given with your expertise. You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Development of technologies leads to a decline in the crime rate. Technologies such as data gathering, surveillance cameras, GPS tracing, facial recognition, heat sensors etc. played a major role in declining crime rates. Here I am going to share about some incidents and how crime rates are declining due to technology improvement.

The percentage of crime in violation of traffic rules has decreased at present when compared to the past. Due to the improvement of technological innovations. Automatic traffic signal lights, CCTV cameras. We can also say these innovations are one of the reasons to decrease the crime rate in violation of traffic rules. Because in the past if a person violated the traffic rules like going in one way route, driving motorbike without helmet, etc. traffic police stop them and collect fines from them for their mistake, but some people leave without stopping. But now through CCTV cameras, traffic police can watch them in the place where they were and they send a message to their contact number. If a person fails to pay a fine within the due date, traffic police will seize their bike or car and hand it over to court, then the person should pay an extra amount with fine to get back their vehicle.

In conclusion, I would completely agree that advancement of technologies would help to decline the crime rates at present when compared to the past. Not only in roadways but also in many other places new innovations were helped to control crime rates.

(252 words)







Advancement in the field of Information Communication Technologies (ICTs), it changes society but also crime. It opens wide opportunities for crime. Unfortunately, technology has also allowed for individuals to carry out the act of committing crime from the place where they were. Here I am going to write an essay about why I disagree with the opinion that the crime rate nowadays is decreasing.

Yes, there are so many technologies that have been improved and it helps to decrease the crime rate, but it is also the one reason for the increase in crime. Technology has reduced manual work to a great extent and digitized data, which makes it easiest to access from one place. With my knowledge, I can say that the increase in crime is due to advancement of technologies. A cyber criminal operates by hacking different portals and websites to access sensitive information, implant viruses and commit several other crimes. With the knowledge of hacking, hackers were using their skill of hacking to hack random people's bank accounts. Technology is now being used to contact people through group chats, and also spy on people. But people are not using it for the correct purpose, they use it to show their status, and sharing a lot of personal information, this information helps hackers to do their crime.

A coin has two faces, like that technology helps both the way to increase the crime and to decrease the crime. These are the reasons why I disagree with the statement that the crime rate nowadays is decreasing.

(256 words)





It is true that the crime rate is decreasing due to the advancement of technologies that are used to resolve criminal activities. Here I am going to write an essay about how the crime rate nowadays is decreasing due to improvement in technologies.

In the last few decades, the world has developed many technological changes in almost every aspect of life. Many benefits have come up due to these developments. Science and technologies were developed more, it helps investigators to identify the criminal easily. For example, if the crime of murder or theft happens then with the fingerprints, skin patches, and blood samples, the investigator can identify the criminal easily.

In the past few years back we have heard that lots of rap incidents and murder incidents have happened. But now with the help of technology the police have introduced an app named 'KAVALAN. SOS'. This app was introduced by Tamil Nadu police on 30.10.2018. If a girl is in a dangerous situation she can open this app and press the SOS button. Within a few seconds, police will get an alert and immediately they will take action. When the app is introduced, they suggest each and every girl to install the app for their safety. This really helps many girls and womens who are going out for their work and studies. This app is not only for the girls and women, also the elderly can also use it for their safety purpose.

Though there are many disadvantages in the improvement of technologies, there are some advantages. In my opinion I agree that the crime rate nowadays is decreasing due to improvement in technology.

(278 words)









Technologies have improved much better than in the past. It provides a more efficient way of working and helps to identify the evidence quickly. Here I am going to share an essay about how the crime rate nowadays is decreasing.

As crime has increased the equal range of technologies have also increased. For an illustration, an ATM card is one of the new applied sciences that helps people to keep their money in the form of a card and withdraw their amount in any place. If a person needs to do shopping, it is not very safe to keep their money in their wallet. In that case ATM cards help them a lot. In the beginning of ATM cards people need to withdraw their money in the particular place where the ATM machine is there.

But now there is no shopping mall or shopping complex as a mini-ATM machine. It helps people to pay their bill in the same shop with a card. In advance people were using mobile phones to pay bills with the help of a few apps like 'Google pay, PhonePe, Paytm, etc. It becomes much easier for people, they can pay even in the small shops. With these improvements the crime of pick pocketing was reduced and theft of money was also reduced. Also people can pay their bills using credit cards.

However technology helps in many ways to reduce crime. We can see lots of differences in crime rate while comparing the present to the past. In my opinion, technology helps a lot to decrease the crime rate. The present to the past.

(268 words)





The crime rate nowadays is decreasing compared to the past due to advanced technology, which can prevent and solve crime. Do you agree or disagree.

Give some examples for the topic given with your expertise.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Development of technologies leads to a decline in the crime rate. Technologies such as data gathering, surveillance cameras, GPS tracing, facial recognition, heat sensors etc. played a major role in declining crime rates. Here I am going to share about some incidents and how crime rates are declining due to technology improvement.

The percentage of crime in violation of traffic rules has decreased at present when compared to the past. Due to the improvement of technological innovations. Automatic traffic signal lights, CCTV cameras. We can also say these innovations are one of the reasons to decrease the crime rate in violation of traffic rules. Because in the past if a person violated the traffic rules like going in one way route, driving motorbike without helmet, etc. traffic police stop them and collect fines from them for their mistake, but some people leave without stopping. But now through CCTV cameras, traffic police can watch them in the place where they were and they send a message to their contact number. If a person fails to pay a fine within the due date, traffic police will seize their bike or car and hand it over to court, then the person should pay an extra amount with fine to get back their vehicle.

In conclusion, I would completely agree that advancement of technologies would help to decline the crime rates at present when compared to the past. Not only in roadways but also in many other places new innovations were helped to control crime rates.

(252 words)









Advancement in the field of Information Communication Technologies (ICTs), it changes society but also crime. It opens wide opportunities for crime. Unfortunately, technology has also allowed for individuals to carry out the act of committing crime from the place where they were. Here I am going to write an essay about why I disagree with the opinion that the crime rate nowadays is decreasing.

Yes, there are so many technologies that have been improved and it helps to decrease the crime rate, but it is also the one reason for the increase in crime. Technology has reduced manual work to a great extent and digitized data, which makes it easiest to access from one place. With my knowledge, I can say that the increase in crime is due to advancement of technologies. A cybercriminal operates by hacking different portals and websites to access sensitive information, implant viruses and commit several other crimes. With the knowledge of hacking, hackers were using their skill of hacking to hack random people's bank accounts. Technology is now being used to contact people through group chats, and also spy on people. But people are not using it for the correct purpose, they use it to show their status, and sharing a lot of personal information, this information helps hackers to do their crime.

A coin has two faces, like that technology helps both the way to increase the crime and to decrease the crime. These are the reasons why I disagree with the statement that the crime rate nowadays is decreasing.

(256 words)



It is true that the crime rate is decreasing due to the advancement of technologies that are used to resolve criminal activities. Here I am going to write an essay about how the crime rate nowadays is decreasing due to improvement in technologies.

In the last few decades, the world has developed many technological changes in almost every aspect of life. Many benefits have come up due to these developments. Science and technologies were developed more, it helps investigators to identify the criminal easily. For example, if the crime of murder or theft happens then with the fingerprints, skin patches, and blood samples, the investigator can identify the criminal easily.

In the past few years back we have heard that lots of rap incidents and murder incidents have happened. But now with the help of technology the police have introduced an app named 'KAVALAN. SOS'. This app was introduced by Tamil Nadu police on 30.10.2018. If a girl is in a dangerous situation she can open this app and press the SOS button. Within a few seconds, police will get an alert and immediately they will take action. When the app is introduced, they suggest each and every girl to install the app for their safety. This really helps many girls and womens who are going out for their work and studies. This app is not only for the girls and women, also the elderly can also use it for their safety purpose.

Though there are many disadvantages in the improvement of technologies, there are some advantages. In my opinion I agree that the crime rate nowadays is decreasing due to improvement in technology.

(278 words)



Technologies have improved much better than in the past. It provides a more efficient way of working and helps to identify the evidence quickly. Here I am going to share an essay about how the crime rate nowadays is decreasing.

As crime has increased the equal range of technologies have also increased. For an illustration, an ATM card is one of the new applied sciences that helps people to keep their money in the form of a card and withdraw their amount in any place. If a person needs to do shopping, it is not very safe to keep their money in their wallet. In that case ATM cards help them a lot. In the beginning of ATM cards people need to withdraw their money in the particular place where the ATM machine is there.

But now there is no shopping mall or shopping complex as a mini-ATM machine. It helps people to pay their bill in the same shop with a card. In advance people were using mobile phones to pay bills with the help of a few apps like 'Google pay, Phonepe, Paytm, etc'. It becomes much easier for people, they can pay even in the small shops. With these improvements the crime of pickpocketing was reduced and theft of money was also reduced. Also people can pay their bills using credit cards.

However technology helps in many ways to reduce crime. We can see lots of differences in crime rate while comparing the present to the past. In my opinion, technology helps a lot to decrease the crime rate. The present to the past.

(268 words)





By punishing murderers with the death penalty, society is also guilty of committing murder. Therefore life in prison is a better punishment for murderers. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Include any relevant examples from your own experiences or expertise, as well as your reasoning for your choice.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

If a murderer is given the death penalty, then everyone in society is also guilty of the crime. The issue if a jail term is preferable to the death penalty or not has been raised by this scenario in society. Individually, I believe that life in jail without the possibility of parole is the best, most rational, and most moral method to cope with murderers.

There is no simple explanation for why people kill, thus a single act motivated by a number of circumstances should not be used to decide whether or not someone else's life should be taken. Among the most severe things a person can do is kill another person, it's not just a matter of coming up with a plan and carrying it out like most other chores are, rather, there's an internal battle going on between the killer's emotions and thoughts. As a result, basing a person's fate on their conduct of the past is unethical and should be eliminated.

It would be a contradiction to kill the person who committed the crime. When a person is condemned to die by the legal system, which is comprised of individuals chosen by society, the general population unwittingly takes on the role of the murderer. Nobody ought to have to shoulder the guilt for the repercussions of actions they did not take or cause. In addition, the cash that is used for the court system comes from the general public. As a result, not only the judgement but also the money is taken to carry out an activity that the majority of people would consider immoral.







In the end, putting murderers to death as a punishment is not an ethical choice. As a culture, people must work together to fix this unfair system and create our community a safer place for us and the next generation.

(308 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Society has an obligation to look out for its members' safety. One such method is reducing the severity of punishments for criminals and murderers. Instead of executing them, many people think it would be better to put them in prison. Even though it's unlikely they'll ever be able to conduct crimes again, some people believe it's preferable to terminate their lives anyhow. This is why, among others, I believe that a life sentence in prison is the most appropriate penalty for murder.

In today's world, there are a variety of methods for committing crimes, as well as a growing population explosion. In addition, administrations in emerging areas have tough rules about illegal acts. They make an effort to determine who the actual culprit is and punish them accordingly. In point of fact, they are looking for more people to make sure it is the end of every wrongdoing, and they do not wish to get those people another chance. In addition, they are of the opinion that there is insufficient room for all the killers.

On the other side, the vast majority of people are of the opinion that offenders should spend their time behind bars as the most appropriate form of punishment. They are also able to modify their beliefs, and they can make up for their wrongdoing by participating in acts of kindness and charity. They also bring up another problem, which is the possibility that the justice system can fail to identify actual criminals or make an error about the severity of their sentence.

In conclusion, I agree with this statement because of all the reasons I gave above. We can give all criminals, even murderers, a chance to think about what they did and feel bad about it. They can also try to make up for their mistakes.

(302 words)









Today's globe has steadily increasing crime rates. There are many people who believe that the death penalty is akin to murder. They favor a receptive judicial system instead. Canceling the death penalty is a controversial proposal that I do not support. And this is because sending a strong message to would-be murderers by punishing them is important, and it is wasteful to put people who cannot be rehabilitated into public prisons, where they will use public resources forever.

To begin, a sentence of life in jail is an unnecessary use of the money provided by the taxpayers. It is common knowledge that the administration of correctional facilities comes at a high expense. As a consequence of this, it is a waste to put money into murderers who will never be allowed to rejoin society after serving their sentences.

Moreover, there is no other way that is as successful as the death sentence in preventing people from engaging in criminal behavior. In other words, by not showing pity to those who have committed murder, we demonstrate that the life of any human being is valuable, and as a result, we deter other criminals from committing any kind of murder.

In conclusion, there are more important ways to spend tax dollars than on murderers and rapists, and the death penalty has been proven to be highly successful at discouraging violent criminals. In my opinion, we are not making the correct choice by abolishing the death penalty. More nations are expected to reevaluate their use of the death penalty in the future in light of this research.

(266 words)









Whether or whether the death penalty is preferable for murderers is a topic of constant discussion. Some individuals believe that by passing a death sentence on to the defendant, we are blaming society for the crime. Others disagree. I wholeheartedly support the executions of such criminals as executing them will not only inspire fear of the law system but also provide comfort to those mourning the loss of a beloved one.

To begin, the most important argument is that if a murderer is going to be killed, other people will think twice before engaging in any kind of illicit activity. In addition to this, they will be terrified of the authorities, and since they will be aware of the repercussions of their actions, they will lack the guts necessary to engage in criminal activity.

Another rationale is that people will have more trust in the legal system and be able to seek redress as a result. According to a poll, residents of Dubai place greater trust in the regulations and laws than they do in the United States of America. Additionally, if criminals are imprisoned, the government will not only contribute to the safety of the nation but also send a clear message to anybody who believes they will escape punishment for their crimes.

In conclusion, it would be wonderful if the government passed rules that were more stringent since this would remove the primary driver of the issue and instil in the general populace a rekindled hope for a more secure future.

(253 words)



Choose Your Next Step

Get 1-on-1 IELTS coaching from experts at 25% off!

Apply Coupon: WELCOME25 for 25% off

9597306237

Enroll in our IELTS live group class led by 5+ yrs experienced trainers.

() 9597306237

Take 3 full-length practice tests for just ₹799/-

9597306237

Use our IELTS prep video resources to reach your full potential.

CLICK HERE

All The Best!

57