



IELTS Writing Task 2 Sample 1

Topic: Environment






Take IELTS Full-Length Practice Tests

IELTS Prep Video Resources



Click for 2025 Practice Tests







Kindly Subscribe to our YouTube Channel to help us grow.

Access high-quality IELTS prep video resources to prepare efficiently.









Writing Task 2 Sample 1

Environment IELTS Essay 1

Some people claim that not enough of the waste from homes is recycled. They say that the only way to increase recycling is for governments to make it a legal requirement. To what extent do you think laws are needed to make people recycle more of their waste?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8:

All around the globe, people raise their living standards, as well as the level of trash. Potential environmental disaster, although recycling and other measures can help lessen the impact. Some people think that recycling should be made mandatory, which is a great idea in my opinion. I wholeheartedly support the latter viewpoint. My justifications are below.

The government should enact laws and regulations whose sole purpose is to encourage people to recycle more and reuse more. To begin with, the "3 R's," which stand for "Reduce," "Reuse," and "Recycle," wastes and disposals can be handled effectively. However, the 3 R's are not easy to implement despite their effectiveness. The government should also launch educational campaigns to raise awareness about the value of recycling and to instruct the public on how to properly recycle and reuse materials. To further reduce their negative effects on the environment, students can be taught to sort their trash into recyclable and nonrecyclable materials before placing them in separate bins.







Moreover, as there are those who will only follow official government policy, it is also important for the government to establish legal regulations and laws concerning recycling management. The government can also distribute compost bins to each municipality to aid in the operation of recycling centres. There will be a significant improvement in the quality of the environment as a result of this. If people don't recycle properly or correctly dispose of their garbage, it will have a major impact on the environment and contribute to pollution.

In a nutshell, I think it's crucial that communities be encouraged to recycle their trash, and I'm confident that if both governments and individuals keep up their efforts, we'll be able to safeguard our planet's natural resources for the benefit of future generations.

(294 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

When the topic of recycling of waste is discussed there are opposing opinions. Some are of the notion that a sufficient amount of waste is not recycled in the houses. Whereas, a few others claim that the law must require a statement authorising waste recycling. I firmly agree with the latter viewpoint. My preference is justified in the following paragraphs.

The first and foremost reason for my preference is that even though many are aware of the positive effects recycling may have, they still choose to throw their garbage out on the curb or in a landfill. Worldwide, trash piles up in a lot of different cities. Fleas and mosquitoes, which can carry disease, flourish there. Our communities and streets would be cleaner and healthier if more people began recycling even just their damp trash.

In addition, the destiny of our planet should not be left up to the decisions of the average person since its importance is simply too great. Because the average person has so many things to worry about in their day-to-day lives, it is unreasonable to anticipate that they will willingly commit their time and energy to recycling. Making it a necessity under the law might seem like an extreme measure, but doing so protects everyone's future. In the end, it is preferable for a small number of people to be penalised as opposed to having everyone endure the tragedies that are linked with environmental deterioration.







To recapitulate, despite the fact that laws requiring recycling by all citizens may appear to be draconian measures, I believe that they are justified. (264 words)

Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

It has been argued that there is not enough recyclable household waste, and that the onus should instead fall squarely on governments to institute mandatory recycling programmes. Although I do believe that governments should enforce recycling laws on households, I think that education about recycling is more important.

To start, it is generally agreed that the best way to handle environmental problems is to pass laws to address them. The recycling legislation would make people pause for a moment of reflection before tossing something in the trash. People who break the law should also face stiff penalties. Everyone would do their part to recycle properly if they were aware of the costs associated with not doing so. For instance, Singapore has implemented legislation mandating the recycling of all household waste or facing severe penalties. Unpredictably, the laws have led to a 60% annual waste reduction. There is no doubt that stricter enforcement of recycling laws would lead to more people recycling.

The counterargument is that if laws are enforced, people may resent them and become even more set in their ways of garbage disposal. In reality, though, it appears that most people won't take action unless they absolutely have to. Therefore, governments need to enact laws if they want recycling of household waste to become widespread.

To sum up, in order to get people to recycle at home, we need to combine legal mandates with educational campaigns. While the role of the law is to ensure compliance, the role of education is to create a willing citizenry. If governments could effectively utilise laws and education, I believe that household waste recycling rates would steadily rise. (290 words)







Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

There are many motivating factors that could cause someone to consider waste recycling. Because of the urgent nature of the current environmental crisis, regulatory agencies need to pay close attention. In this essay, we'll discuss some legislation that might encourage individuals to recycle more frequently.

The majority of people are still using plastic bags for their requirements despite the government ban, which contributes to the environmental degradation caused by the rising human population. Because plastic bags contain many toxic compounds that cause soil erosion, and because people carelessly discard them on highways, plastic is a major contributor to land pollution. Malaria and dengue fever, spread by mosquitoes that feed on trash, are another consequence of littering. In response, governments have not only outlawed the use of plastic bags but also begun charging fines to anyone who violates the rule.

Governments should organise campaigns to educate the public about the benefits of recycling everyday items and to highlight the distinctions between recyclable and non-recyclable products, with the hope that citizens will incorporate these lessons into their lives and make greater use of recyclables. Since most people just toss their trash recklessly on the side of the road, we also need to have garbage cans formed with precise information.

To conclude, people should be aware of the significance of recycling objects because they have an indirect impact on their lives; if they are unable to do so on their own, the government should take the strong decisions and make laws of high penalty so people will think twice before acting carelessly.

(259 words)







Individuals can do nothing to improve the environment. Only governments and large companies can make a difference. What extent do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

It might be debated whether or not regular people have any responsibility for preserving the planet. There are others who believe that in order to save Earth, governments and corporations must shoulder an enormous responsibility. Both of them, in my view, need to do their part to protect the environment.

In the first place, it is correct that legislation protecting habitats should be enacted. All businesses, no matter how big or little, are in business to increase their wealth and earnings. They are more concerned with making money than they are with preventing catastrophic climate change. For instance, pollutants from industry cause severe air pollution in urban centres. Furthermore, there are industries that dump their garbage into waterways. As a result, the environment and aquatic life will suffer. This, in turn, will have repercussions for the planet's biosphere. Governments should thus impose heavy fines on businesses to encourage them to cut back on emissions and toxic waste.

Second, government entities should use social media to promote green behaviour. Therefore, advocating for recycling, decreasing plastic usage, and urging garden tree planting. The ideal approach is this, in my opinion, because forcing them to do it is like allowing reckless parents to have children. Overpopulated countries like India value individual freedom, making it more challenging to impose rules on the populous. In addition to this, individuals should be encouraged to use public transportation rather than driving.

In conclusion, governments should prohibit legislation that mandates emission and harmful material reductions by businesses. But individuals do their share by opting for eco-friendly lifestyles and taking advantage of public transportation.









Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

It might be debated whether or not regular people have any responsibility for preserving the planet. There are others who believe that in order to save Earth, governments and corporations must shoulder an enormous responsibility. Both of them, in my view, need to do their part to protect the environment.

In the first place, it is correct that legislation protecting habitats should be enacted. All businesses, no matter how big or little, are in business to increase their wealth and earnings. They are more concerned with making money than they are with preventing catastrophic climate change. For instance, pollutants from industry cause severe air pollution in urban centres. Furthermore, there are industries that dump their garbage into waterways. As a result, the environment and aquatic life will suffer. This, in turn, will have repercussions for the planet's biosphere. Governments should thus impose heavy fines on businesses to encourage them to cut back on emissions and toxic waste.

Second, government entities should use social media to promote green behaviour. Therefore, advocating for recycling, decreasing plastic usage, and urging garden tree planting. The ideal approach is this, in my opinion, because forcing them to do it is like allowing reckless parents to have children. Overpopulated countries like India value individual freedom, making it more challenging to impose rules on the populous. In addition to this, individuals should be encouraged to use public transportation rather than driving.

In conclusion, governments should prohibit legislation that mandates emission and harmful material reductions by businesses. But individuals do their share by opting for eco-friendly lifestyles and taking advantage of public transportation.

(279 words)









Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

It is commonly held nowadays that governments and multinational corporations are better equipped to clean up the environment than individuals are. In the next paragraphs, I'll elaborate on my arguments.

To begin, the government is better equipped to protect the environment due to the wide range of measures it can take. The transportation system, the leading contributor to global warming, is one of the many things that are primarily the purview of national governments. Due to inadequate public transportation options, many people in the United States rely significantly on their own cars. If the government invests in and makes improvements to the public transportation system, more people will choose to use it, and the difficulty associated with reducing pollution will be greatly reduced. But no single person can do these tasks.

However, big businesses play a crucial part in this as well. Waste from manufacturers, both in terms of toxic gases and water, is a significant contributor to pollution. It can be seen that during the process, manufacturers require a significant number of water and fuel. After that, the waste is released into the environment, which eventually leads to a decline in the quality of life for both humans and marine life. Many serious environmental concerns can be easily alleviated if multinational corporations employ eco-friendly raw materials and energy sources and properly dispose of water.

To wrap up, I no longer believe that the government and huge corporations can effectively improve the environment by providing better quality transportation and by switching to renewable energy sources.

(255 words)









Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

People's inability to improve the climate is debatable. But the government and companies have a disproportionately large impact on global warming. Both are critically important to the fight to keep our natural world intact.

To begin, it is up to the government to enact rigorous regulations in order to preserve the environment. Today's businesses put profit before a healthy environment and pure air. One cause of air pollution is the release of harmful gases into the atmosphere, as is the case in industrialised regions. They also contribute to environmental degradation by dumping their garbage into waterways and oceans. As a result of this pollution, the lifespan of every living thing, including humans, has steadily declined over the years. Additionally, many marine species are in the midst of rapid reproductive growth. Governments should levy heavy fines on businesses that pollute the environment with harmful waste.

To further this goal, authorities should promote cleanliness through education and awareness campaigns. So, we should tell people to grow more plants in their yards, use less plastic, and recycle. The best approach for an average person to combat climate change, in my opinion, is to do something like this. The government should also encourage citizens to ditch their cars in favour of public transportation. The amount of carbon dioxide released into the atmosphere is thus guaranteed. Drivers who are caught without their vehicle's quality check certificate should be subject to severe fines.

As a result, governments must enact stringent rules to force businesses to cut back on their hazardous emissions and waste. It's important to note that regular folks have a hand in making environmental changes, too.

(272 words)







Nowadays scientists and tourists are allowed to travel to remote natural environments. Do you think the advantages of this development outweigh the disadvantages?

State the benefits and demerits of the given topic from your experience and give your opinion regarding if the pros outweigh the cons.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Earth has been acknowledged to possess a wealth of natural riches, scenic beauty, polar ice caps, mountain ranges, hidden terrains, and so on, since time immemorial. Scientists and curious sightseers alike frequently embark on journeys throughout the globe in search of undiscovered natural wonders. This essay will discuss the pros and cons of these investigations and conclude with a reasonable conclusion.

Studying the local population, flora, and fauna, and the impact of climate changes over time is one of the benefits of exploring distant natural ecosystems by researchers and visitors. Scientists are free to conduct studies that may one day shed light on the origins of life, the functioning of the planet's climate, and other fundamental questions. Travelers to the area will be able to soak up the natural magnificence of the area, soothe their minds and bodies, and generally have a great time on vacation. Many tourists, for instance, seek out remote and isolated locations for their vacations so that they can reconnect with nature and their own inner peace.

However, these types of expeditions can cost a lot of money. Travelers in really far locales may put their lives in jeopardy owing to a lack of essential amenities including food, water, medical care, and other necessities. In addition, the impacts of environmental and climatic changes on their lives remain largely unknown. There is a concern that more visitors will visit as a result of these explorations, ruining the area's natural attractiveness and threatening the native species habitats.

09









Summing up, there are good reasons to support any point of view, as we have shown. Despite this, I believe the benefits of exploration outweigh the drawbacks, as it not only leads to significant discoveries but also helps to tame the innate human thirst for excitement and adventure.

(297 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

These formerly inaccessible regions of nature are now open to tourists because of technological advancements. I think the drawbacks of this tendency outweigh the benefits to a far greater degree.

There are positive aspects to exploring wild, uninhabited areas. To begin, this is a novel and intriguing kind of transportation. Travelling to new urban centres or countries has become routine for many, therefore it may be more exhilarating for them to discover the South Pole or the Amazon rain forest. They get knowledge and memories that will last a lifetime. Second, it's possible that scientists, in particular, can learn more about the natural environment if they travel to more isolated regions. Scientists, for instance, can study polar bears, which live in isolation from people, by travelling to the North Pole.

Nevertheless, I think there are many more negative repercussions of this trend. The first is that if one is adequately trained, travelling to natural locations far from civilization can be safe. The South Pole, for example, has extremely low average temperatures, which can have catastrophic effects on human health. Forest travel is risky because of the possibility of being attacked by wild creatures. Moreover, travel costs tend to be expensive because exploring remote areas typically necessitates a substantial financial commitment to research and assuring the safety of tourists. So only scientists and the affluent can afford this activity, so only a selected few will benefit from this innovation.

In conclusion, It is certain that the downsides of individuals being able to visit far-flung locations outweigh the rewards.

(256 words)









Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

Modern times have opened up previously inaccessible natural areas to tourists and environmental scientists. In this essay, I'll make the case that the advantages of this strategy much outweigh any potential downsides. The essay will begin by showing that the main benefits are a reduction in stress and an improvement in the local economy, and it will then go on to show that the primary disadvantage is not the creation of pollution but rather the perception of that as a problem.

The primary motivation for visiting undeveloped wilderness places is the pursuit of serenity. People today are under a lot of stress from their jobs, and as a result, some of them are looking to escape to a peaceful natural environment to refocus and recharge. Moreover, this can aid scientists in locating uncommon plant species that can be used for the study of the prosperity of the community. For instance, according to research published in The Times of India, many conventional medicines are derived from natural herbs that were discovered by scientists in faraway lands decades ago.

Some people think that opening up natural areas to tourists and researchers will ruin them for everyone. The reason for this is that a growing number of visitors would visit these areas in the years to come, posing a threat to the ecological system. Many backpackers to these locations are conscious of the likelihood of environmental damage, so they take measures to minimize their impact. In addition, governments implement rigorous standards to preserve the tranquillity of these areas.

The idea that exploring natural areas will lead to pollution is erroneous, but the reality that doing so helps to achieve peace and development of that area more than makes up for it.

(288 words)







Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6

The capacity to visit far places like the Arctic and numerous islands presents both travel and scientific advantages and environmental preservation concerns. The benefits far exceed the drawbacks, in my opinion.

The ability to visit out-of-the-way places comes with some externalized costs. Even if local governments do their utmost to protect isolated areas from contamination, visitors are still likely to experience some adverse effects. South Pacific islands are a prime illustration of this. The Philippines, in particular, have become a major tourist destination, leading to an increase in waste and the modification of the local ecosystem to make room for hotels and eating establishments. In a similar vein, the local culture is in jeopardy. As more and more outsiders and contemporary goods make their way into previously undiscovered areas, a lot of indigenous communities are finding it difficult to hold on to their traditions.

However, the main strengths are more academic and recreational journeys. Areas that aren't being used by modern civilizations are useless. From uninhabited islands to regions with harsh climates like that of Mount Everest, several previously off-limits destinations have become desirable sites for the adventurous traveller. The positives are even more palpable for academic scholars. The Galapagos Islands are the most well-known example of this, as they were the site of Darwin's scientific work on which his theory of evolution was based more than a century ago. Since then, countless discoveries and advancements in fields including archaeology, medicine, marine life, and the environment have emerged from the capacity to explore new places.

To sum up, while tourism can have deleterious repercussions, I think that exploring previously uncharted areas is generally a good thing. While the outcomes of such travel far outweigh the risks, governments nonetheless need to impose regulations to protect citizens.

(295 words)





Influence of human beings on the world's ecosystem is leading to the extinction of many species and loss of biodiversity. What are the primary causes of loss of biodiversity? What solution can you suggest to protect endangered species?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Mankind is the only species on Earth that is aware of its own actions. However, they tend to ignore the effects it causes, leading to the environmental catastrophe happening today, which is the loss of biodiversity and the extinction of animals. The prime causes of these events are overpopulation and deforestation. Some of the solutions that can be implemented to handle them include spreading awareness of the consequences and so on.

The continuous increase in population is both concerning and dangerous. Due to the rise in demand and consistent supply of the resources present, the essential resources are being overused. For instance, there is a lack of space to accommodate them. Hence, the people cut down forests to establish space for themselves. Trees are demolished, and in their place, skyscrapers stand. Deforestation has led animals and birds to become homeless and made a few species endangered, like the black buck, the white tiger, and many more. The fauna is forced to abandon their homes due to this, making them vulnerable to extinction.

To decrease the problems occurring due to them, the government should organise campaigns to spread awareness about the setbacks and how to contain them. Ensuring more and more people are aware of the outcome of their actions and reducing it. The government should implement austere laws to limit illegal activities like hunting, poaching, and so on. Protect the flora and fauna with firm boundaries, restricting unwanted movement in the closures.

To conclude, the impacts of human beings on the various ecosystems have caused a minority of wildlife to be vulnerable to extinction because of overpopulation and deforestation. To handle these, the government should have stringent legislation and preserve the animals in sanctuaries.











Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Millions of species on earth, yet only one has dominated and delivered a significant impact on the majority of the planet, humans. Humanity has killed many species of flora and fauna in the relatively brief geological span of a little over 200,000 years that they have existed. The crisis is due to overpopulation, overexploitation of natural resources, pollution, and so on.

There are multiple reasons for the loss of biodiversity and the extinction of species. One of them being the constantly increasing population. With an increase in people, the need for space arises, leading to trees being cut down. Further, the constant overuse of resources like crude oil, coal, and many more is causing global warming. This is causing issues like glaciers melting, bushfires, and hurricanes. Consequently, the animals living in those areas are forced to find an alternative shelter for themselves. Another factor contributing is pollution; the various kinds of pollution are damaging nature more than mankind intended. For example, the chemical fertilisers are destroying the soil and minerals. And the plastic is causing the aquatic species to die.

Some crucial steps should be executed by the government to ensure the safety of the world's ecosystem, including the banishment of plastic goods, laws to restrict illegal hunting, and so on. The government should prohibit the use of plastic to eliminate the possibility of it ending up in the oceans. Strict laws are in place to prevent hunters from engaging in illegal activities.

In conclusion, it is past due to take the necessary measures to safeguard biodiversity. We are the only people who can save our planet. By acting responsibly, we can safeguard the affected species and biodiversity. After all, animals and humans share the same planet.

(Word 288)









Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

Human actions are having tremendous effects on the world's ecosystems. It has caused the endangerment of several species and the loss of biodiversity. Two of the core reasons for this event are global warming and illegal poaching. To address the issue of global warming, people should be encouraged to use eco-friendly products to reduce their impact on the environment.

Global warming is a situation that has arrived due to mankind. It brings about disasters like prolonged droughts, constantly rising sea levels, hurricanes, wildfires, and so on. Wildfires happen every year at various locations. For instance, the 2019–20 wildfires that broke out in Australia destroyed a massive part of the forest, forcing the animals to abandon their homes. Several koalas and kangaroos lost their lives due to it. Illegal annihilation of creatures for poaching is also to blame for animal extinction. People, for example, are killing Royal Bengal Tigers for their skin, causing their population to steadily decline.

To decrease the effects of global warming, people should take initiatives to travel in public transport vehicles, use hybrid or fuel efficient vehicles, and most importantly, recycle and reuse, as well as use energy saving alternatives for lighting fixtures. Restrictive laws should be laid down to prevent the illegal poaching of animals and the individuals caught should be punished severely. The wildlife should be protected away from human civilization in close enclosures where they can live in a similar habitat as they did previously in a forest.

To conclude, human ignorance and greed have disrupted ecosystems, resulting in biodiversity loss and the extinction of a number of animals. It has happened due to global warming and the illegal slaughter of animals. It can be contained by the government imposing strict laws and people responsibly utilising resources and being thoughtful towards the environment.

(Words 299)







Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

The reckless and clumsy behaviour of humans has caused disruption in the world's ecosystem, decreasing biodiversity, and making some species vulnerable to extinction. This has been a result of pollution caused by the companies and factories. Implementation of stringent rules and regulations will limit the damage and regenerate the environment.

Pollution and sewage disposal in the water are the leading causes of marine life deaths. Garbage, such as nylon, plastic, and polythene, is non-biodegradable waste that floats around unharmed and is mistaken for food by various sea creatures. For instance, turtles consume polybags, mistaking them for jellyfish. The plastic swallowed makes it difficult for the turtle to digest anything, hence causing death by starvation. The illegal release of industrial waste into rivers without the removal of toxic chemicals that harms the fish and causes a massive loss of life. These are also harmful for the corals in the oceans. The corals are depleting every day because of the toxins present in the water.

These environmental problems caused by pollution can be minimised by the ministries' by imposing rigid laws for illegal waste disposal. Companies that engage in this practice should face severe penalties. Put restrictions on the use of plastic, to limit the deaths caused by it. Moreover, the government should bring about awareness among the masses regarding the consequences that follow reckless behaviour.

To sum up, one of the primary causes of the world's biodiversity depletion and the extinction of a few animals is industrial pollution. Strict implementation of laws should be followed to lessen the impact.

(Words 259)









Planting trees is very important for the environment. Some people say trees should be planted in the vacant area of cities and towns. While others believe housing facilities should be built instead. Do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

The planting is beneficial to the ecosystem, and a better strategy to provide a nice atmosphere for the foreseeable future. Many people think that houses should be built in empty areas, while others think that planting is a good approach to ensure a good environment. My preference will be addressed further and I completely agree with this remark.

Since urbanisation and industrialisation are continuously expanding, and leading to pollution, it is crucial to begin planting trees in urban and suburban areas. Moreover, trees filter the air we breathe and reduce pollution levels. As an illustration, the government of Delhi planted several trees in the urban areas to reduce pollution, and after a suitable amount of time, the city's residents noted a marked improvement in the environment. Therefore, planting not only aids in keeping the environment clean but also maintains people's health.

In addition to absorbing carbon dioxide and preventing further warming, trees also help to regulate temperature, which in turn, affects the seasonal cycle. Apart from this, the economy of a country is highly dependent on its natural resources, therefore as global warming worsens, it becomes increasingly important to regulate the length of each season. For instance, a recent poll published in a regional newspaper found that tree plantings are beneficial for farmers, who produce and import food for the country. Hence, planting trees serves both a climate-control and economic purpose.

To conclude, even though there is a significant demand for housing, plants in urban areas are beneficial in order to reduce pollution and to keep temperature appropriate. (258 words)









Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

In recent years, environmental concerns have become more severe, and planting is the best approach for that. According to some, vacant places in cities and towns should be planted with trees, while others believe that dwelling should be built in their place. I completely concur with this remark, and my preference will be explained further.

To begin with, planting trees is an excellent technique for producing more oxygen and reducing air pollution. Since the use of cars and other transportation facilities has risen, the environment has become a significant issue. For instance, the government of Mumbai banned automobiles and other personal vehicles for the relevant time as they produce fossil fuels which are destructive to the environment, and instead encouraged the use of public transit. Thus, the planting has a long-term effect on the ecology and also provides clean air.

Furthermore, metropolitan areas have a greater demand for plantings since trees lower the temperature of the atmosphere and moderate the environment, which is more advantageous for the inhabitants. In Ahmedabad, for instance, the government increased the number of trees to lower the city's temperature; accordingly, they saw a considerable shift in the city's temperature, particularly in the summer. So, plantation is not only beneficial for the ecology but also vital for human health.

To conclude, even though the population of urban regions is expanding, it is more favourable to plant trees in urban areas to achieve clean air and appropriate temperatures. In addition, it is more advantageous since it minimises air pollution and prevents disease from unclean air.

(258 words)







Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

The environment has become a more pressing problem that needs to be resolved in recent years, and planting is the optimal answer. Some individuals think that planting is essential as trees play a crucial role in maintaining the ecosystem; however, others believe that housing should be built instead of planting. I completely agree with the statement and my preference will be explained further.

To embark with, the plantation is more beneficial for the environment since it is an excellent way to prevent global warming. Moreover, industrialization is on the rise; as a consequence, it contributes to air pollution by emitting toxic gases. If no actions are done to eliminate air pollution, it will have a negative impact on human health due to its negative effects. Thus, the plantation is the optimal strategy for mitigating the harmful effects of industrialization and preventing sickness.

In addition, trees are helpful for humans since they not only supply foodstuffs but also provide numerous ministries that contribute to the improvement of health. Moreover, trees help to control the environment in metropolitan areas. For example, an article discovered that many trees are planted in metropolitan areas that are used for medications; consequently, the medical industry began investigating trees to uncover other answers. Therefore, plantation is helpful for the environment and for medical purposes as well.

To conclude, despite the fact that there is a tremendous demand for housing, in order to discover more solutions to medical problems and to prevent air pollution, plantation in urban areas is far more important.

(254 words)







Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

In recent times, environmental protection is a significant concern. Some believe that towns and municipalities should plant trees on their vacant lots, while others think that housing units should be built in their stead. I completely agree with this statement and my preference will be discussed further.

First, the plantation is beneficial for the planet since it regulates both temperature and water levels. Moreover, trees manage the seasonal cycle of the environment, which is particularly effective during the monsoon season as It increases the chance of rain and brings the temperature down. For instance, a local newspaper article indicates that rainfall rose following the planting, but it was lowest in deforested regions. Hence, planting trees is highly important for the improvement of the ecosystem and the seasonal cycle.

A second essential reason is that the plantation enhances the aesthetic appeal of the city. Because of the fact that trees are planted in cities for environmental reasons, they have become a component of urban areas. As an example, the government of Gujarat designated Gandhinagar as the Green city of Gujarat due to the abundance of trees, which not only assist to purify the air but also add beauty. Thus, vegetation makes metropolitan places more attractive and provides clean air to individuals and positivity as well.

To conclude, although housing facilities are needed in the urban areas, plantation is more required because it controls the level of water, which is more important for the earth, moreover, it provides beauty to a city, which will assist residents in unwinding.

(256 words)







Some people think that environmental problems are too big for individuals to solve, while others think that the government cannot solve these environmental problems unless individuals do something about it. Discuss both views and give your opinion.

Write an answer to the given topic and provide any relevant instance of your own. Discuss both perspectives before writing your opinion.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Constant coverage in the media of the worsening state of the planet's ecosystems is a sad reality of modern life. Some believe that governments are the only ones capable of addressing environmental concerns, while others argue that private citizens can make a difference. It is my firm belief that governments must play a central role in finding solutions to these issues.

While it's true that solving environmental issues requires a collective effort, every one of us can make strides in the right direction by adopting a few simple routines. To reduce our reliance on single-use plastic bags, we can, for instance, always bring along recycle bags. As fewer plastic bags are used, less air pollution is produced as a result of the burning of plastic bags at garbage dumps, making it evident that individuals may truly assist in lessening environmental difficulties.

It is undeniable that governmental involvement is necessary to find lasting solutions to environmental concerns. Initially, governments are better equipped to deal with environmental issues than individuals are. For instance, they can pass legislation prohibiting the release of industrial waste into the atmosphere and water supplies. A reduction in air and water pollution is a result, of ensuring the public's health. As a result, the country's populace will enjoy better health and less pollution.

Finally, with the help of both individuals and governments, environmental issues can and should be resolved as soon as possible. I have faith that environmental issues will be resolved and that the United States will be prosperous and strong for many years to come. (259 words)











Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

The new era is the most environmentally conscious in human history. Some argue that it's tough for one person to make a difference, while others insist that the problem requires intervention from higher-ups. In this essay, I'll examine both arguments and explain why I think the government should play an essential role in regulating industries like this one.

Firstly, there is the widespread misconception that restoring Mother Nature can't be done by a single individual. However, if we made some simple adjustments to our daily routines, the negative effects on the environment could be eliminated. For instance, we may always bring a recycle bag with us when we go shopping to cut down on the number of plastic bags we use. These will unquestionably help reduce the amount of pollution released into the atmosphere when plastic bags are burned. Therefore, such an individual's efforts may contribute to a more wholesome ecosystem.

However, governments play an equally important role in establishing checks on many of these activities that threaten our ecosystem. Governments have the power to regulate their citizens quite strictly. Plastic bag use, for instance, should be outlawed nationwide, and this can only be mandated by the government. The authority to enforce these rules is in the hands of the officials who made them. Because of this, government plays a pivotal role in efforts to eliminate causes of environmental degradation.

All in all, the rate of environmental degradation is skyrocketing. Both individuals and governments are needed to solve these issues. I agree wholeheartedly that governments should play a pivotal role in finding answers to these problems.

(266 words)









Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

In the last few decades, environmental protection has emerged at the forefront of public consciousness, sparking heated discussions concerning who is ultimately accountable for the environment's long-term sustainability and the welfare of succeeding generations.

One may raise the argument that conserving the ecosystem as a whole is so complex that no single person could make a meaningful difference on their own. Factories that release poisonous fumes into the atmosphere or dump toxic waste into waterways are now only good instances. It could be asserted that if all shareholders put pressure on the companies they own, this would create a snowball effect that would force the companies to become more responsible. However, this is unlikely to happen because most companies are owned by competently managed funds, for which profit comes before the ecosphere.

From the foregoing, we may infer that the best chance we have of succeeding in our attempts to safeguard the environment is if the government and the people work together on the issue. If a member of society observes the aforementioned phenomenon in his or her immediate vicinity, he or she can contact an implementing agency to deal with the production plant that is releasing harmful fumes and dumping harmful materials. These implementing bodies can be anything from the Environment control desk at the city hall to environmental inspectors who are entitled to randomly inspect such factories and levy fines or even shut down the entire production facility if they find evidence of rule-breaking.

Since the obligation of accountability appears to be shifting toward private actors who receive institutional and regulatory backing from the state, I believe that joint public-state initiatives would be more beneficial. However, this is no excuse for littering the beach with nonbiodegradable objects or otherwise damaging nature.

(293 words)







Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

The environmental crisis has worsened substantially in recent years. Rapid population growth has made the situation worse, as have issues like pollution, deforestation, global warming, etc. Some say these issues are too massive for any one person to solve. I think it's important for people and governments alike to work together to find a workable solution.

Human population growth is a major source of environmental degradation. Population growth necessitates an increase in agricultural output, urban development, and the provision of other necessities. Everyone can do their part to solve the world's most pressing environmental concerns. Individual action has the potential to affect global change. Furthermore, if a country's government decides to plant trees, that initiative will fail unless citizens pitch in as well.

The environmental threat posed by pollution is substantial as well. Not only does it contribute to a host of other issues in the natural world, but it also has severe consequences for human health. Urban factories are a major contributor to air pollution, which harms public health. Glaciers are melting all across the planet because of the dramatic rise in global temperature. The nations of the world should collaborate to solve this urgent issue, which transcends borders. This calls for sober decision-making at the highest levels of government, while also encouraging and empowering everyday citizens to do their bit in making the world a better place.

In conclusion, I would want to stress the need of taking an expansive approach to solving environmental issues. Everyone needs to do their part to lessen the potentially catastrophic effects it will have on the planet. To a large extent, one person's actions can affect the overall situation.

(277 words)







Environmental problems such as pollution and climate change are increasing nowadays the government have taken some measure at a global level but they only get some solution. Why is it so? How can this problem be solved?

Include any relevant examples from your own experiences or understanding and your arguments for the opinion you have regarding the topic. Write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

It's undeniable that pollution and other environmental hazards are contributing significantly to the world's mounting climate change challenges. Authorities have tried to address the issues brought on by the planet's warming trend, but their efforts have shown limited success. In this article, I will first explain why this problem has not been resolved, and then I will provide a way to do so.

Lack of understanding among people about the true nature of the challenge posed by climate change is one of the primary reasons why governments are still having trouble finding solutions to environmental concerns. People have not given any thought to the potential repercussions that might result from changes in the climate in the not-too-distant future. This is due to the fact that people in this day and age feel that they still live on a normal planet, and they do not give any thought to the potential repercussions of changes in the average temperature of the earth.

As a result, increasing the number of initiatives aimed at preventing harmful behaviors is the best way to mitigate the adverse effects that rising global temperatures are having on the globe. To a large extent, the damage being done to the earth may be mitigated by persuading more people to adopt particular actions. As a result, each and every council ought to initiate climate change prevention initiatives in educational institutions including schools and colleges.









In conclusion, although governments typically take action to prevent environmental changes, they should do more to prevent disasters. Not only is this futile without complementary steps taken by authorities and individual understanding, but it also fails to address the underlying problem.

(275 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Scientists and other experts are now worried about environmental issues brought on by human behavior, such as air pollution and climate change. These are the primary consequences of generating natural catastrophes, and I'll go through possible remedies in the paragraphs that follow.

There might be any number of factors at play in this kind of situation. To begin, industries like manufacturing and transportation are responsible for a significant portion of the air pollution that leads to climate change. The warming of the planet, which is caused by the release of greenhouse gases, can have the effect of hastening the extinction of some animal species. For instance, every year a significant number of individuals throughout the world suffer from health problems such as asthma and heart attacks. In addition, individuals do not properly dispose of the wastes that are produced by manufacturers, which results in the waste taking a very long time to decompose. As a consequence of this, they are significantly impacted by the current weather conditions, which might include floods and volcanic eruptions.

On the contrary, it ought to account for both private and public wrongdoing. The first step would be for the government to punish the businesses that did not properly dispose of their trash. Furthermore, the government should push for greater tree planting so that the air we breathe is less polluted. People need to switch from using plastic items to using recyclable resources.

In a nutshell, the acts of humans are to blame for a significant number of meteorological occurrences. Despite the fact that it should be of utmost importance to stop these acts as soon as possible, in the event that they do not, our planet will be extinct in a few decades. (288 words)







Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

There is no denying that environmental problems like pollution and global warming have a detrimental influence on every nation and would have a worldwide impact as well. Many individuals think that the worldwide solutions that have been proposed to address these issues were not successful and efficient. The reasons why some of the methods failed and suggestions on how to deal with these problems are covered in the following article.

The ineffectiveness of current approaches to environmental problems was attributed to a variety of factors. Few nations adopted the proposed remedies. Regrettably, the environmental rules and regulations of certain nations are murky at best. To provide an example in this context, in a number of nations, there is no sanction against dumping trash into waterways that are being extracted from factories and huge plants. Therefore, trash from these nations continues to contaminate the seas. Despite several international policies being adopted, they are often not put into practice in many nations. For this reason, global warming and pollution continue to worsen.

In order to solve these environmental issues, the national governments should take some action. One solution must be agreed upon and implemented by all nations. In several economies, the government has entrenched numerous strategies and plans that have gradually helped the country to prevent an economic condition of the depleted market and recession.

To summarize, I am of the opinion that the world's environmental problems are capable of being solved, provided that all nations work together to put the proposed solutions into action.

(253 words)









Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

The complex relationship that exists between human activity and the natural environment is currently one of the most important concerns facing the planet. In recent years, this relationship has become increasingly troublesome. Even though the government has implemented certain preventative measures, those measures are not sufficient to halt the recurrence. Nevertheless, more actions are required to bring the issue under control.

To begin, many governments have taken a significant amount of preventative action; hence, the lack of support from the people is what is putting us behind. There are a lot of people that don't care about the rules and laws that have been put in place. In a handful of countries, the government has implemented tough rules that indicate its residents are not authorized to discard waste anywhere, and these countries fall under the category of "garbage-free nations." While communities still don't listen.

The government also falls short, especially in its role as manager of many enterprises. Many businesses pollute local environments by releasing synthetic gases and dirty water. Therefore, environmental concerns are growing in importance. One prominent approach is for governments to promote greater social awareness in communities, making locals more cognizant of the risks they face. There has to be strict regulation with penalties. Moreover, businesses must use filters on gases and chemicals before releasing them outside.

As a result of the fact that environmental concerns are growing more widespread in the contemporary world, it is the obligation of both the government and the general people to collaborate in order to find solutions to this problem.

(259 words)







Most countries do not recycle their waste like paper, glass, and aluminium cans. Why does this happen and what steps can be taken to encourage recycling?

Address reasons for your answer, and include examples from your own knowledge or experience.

Write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

The utilization of non-biodegradable materials is on the rise, and with it comes an exponential growth in waste and, ultimately, soil contamination. People are not recycling garbage for a variety of reasons all around the world. This clarification aims to provide multiple potential causes for this phenomenon as well as some insightful suggestions for coping with it.

When the claim is examined more closely and some background information is provided, it becomes apparent that individuals are unable to eliminate waste in accordance with legal requirements. Due to their busy schedules, they rarely recycle and frequently fail to properly dispose of the waste. Since there are insufficient landfills or rubbish dumps, people in postindustrial civilizations dump their trash wherever they can, including in communal locations. Therefore, the lack of knowledge and overcrowded agendas are the key drivers of the increase in waste.

Myriad modifications are needed to continue with the preventative actions. To begin, any community producing a substantial amount of trash should establish and sustain several scrapyards. Further, educational initiatives should be developed in higher education institutions, and private sector organizations to educate the general public about recycling's benefits and drawbacks. Likewise, the government should initiate awareness and teaching campaigns. Individuals can be penalized for improper waste disposal. Therefore, a clean environment can be achieved by the use of these methods.







Humans aren't recycling as much as they could be because of their busy lives and a lack recycling education. Consequently, with the aid of efficient education, the construction of recycling plants, and stringent regulations by local authorities, we may completely overcome this impediment and make our earth an optimal place to live.

(273 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Garbage recycling in an environmentally responsible manner is essential, yet many countries are not on board. Although people's lack of recycling interest is caused by multiple variables, it is possible, and even desirable, that this condition can be remedied through deliberate effort.

Most people don't understand the significance of recycling or why it's so important. They don't bother with recycling and don't pay any attention to where they dump their garbage. Many individuals are too involved with their own life to devote any spare time to being responsible and taking care of such problems. In addition, the government of many countries does little to improve the situation so that reprocessing can be done on a larger scale.

Numerous solutions exist for the aforementioned problem. The authorities should organize gatherings where the value of recycling can be discussed. A topic on this topic should be included in textbooks so that young people can learn to become contributing members of society. The government can also launch programs to encourage more cleaning and reduce pollution. Launched by India's prime minister, Mr. Narendra Modi, "Swach Bharat Abhiyan" aims to improve the country's cleanliness. The goal of this campaign is to increase sustainability performance and mobilize citizens to aid in keeping the nation clean. All throughout the world, local communities are instituting collecting systems to collect or re-purpose as much waste as possible.

In summary, better waste management is obviously necessary, and it's vital that all countries do what they can to recover as much rubbish as they can in light of the growing world population.

(261 words)







Model Sample Answer 3 Band 7

Many societies have no plans to recycle common trash items like glass bottles, aluminium cans, and paper products. Another issue is that many companies are still in their early stages, therefore they lack the management resources to effectively oversee recycling initiatives within their production facilities. By writing this piece, I intend to contribute to the conversation on waste recycling and the lack of effective waste management policies in many nations.

The widespread misconception that recycling is too expensive and inefficient to be worthwhile has prevented its widespread adoption in many countries. For reusing to be successful, many steps must be completed, and organizations must devote significant resources to the endeavor. Spending heavily on labor and other essentials is also required. To ensure that aluminium cans, glass, and paper scraps are recycled in an effective manner, I believe it is necessary to collect trash from out-of-the-way regions that tend to amass such items. The regeneration process requires innumerable benefits, including electricity, water, the proper apparatus, etc. It is essential to invest extensively in these resources.

Further, many nations are still in their formative years of development and have not yet caught up to contemporary technical breakthroughs. The lack of financial means prevents these nations from purchasing the necessary equipment and technology to showcase recycling's efficacy, utility, and product. This is why many nations put off establishing formal waste management and recycling infrastructure.

To encourage people to take part in recycling initiatives, financial incentives are offered. The public needs to be made aware of the dangers of non-biodegradable rubbish, and the government should take steps to do so. Informational campaigns alerting the community of the significance of recycling and efficient waste disposal are yet another viable technique. To reduce environmental degradation in underdeveloped countries, comprehensive sewage sorting programs are essential.

(298 words)









Model Sample Answer 4 Band 6.5

It's obvious a lot of countries don't recycle the newest trash materials. Several individuals in certain countries see recycling as a pointless activity. There aren't adequate recycling facilities or skilled workers to support the other new industries. The essay will include brief descriptions of the factors that contribute to low recycling rates, as well as assessments of the initiatives that have been taken to ameliorate the situation.

To begin with, some people may view recycling as a waste of time and money. The time required for recovery is substantial because of the extensive preparation, separation, and filtration that is required. Additionally, there is a waste of resources like power, water, and land which results in monetary loss due to the various recycling procedures. A sizable workforce engaged in a wide range of waged activities is also essential to the recycling business. For these reasons, not all nations recycle their trash.

However, developing countries have not been able to recycle their waste or ship it to advanced economies for processing because they lack the necessary infrastructure. Many different approaches have been developed to increase recycling rates among inhabitants of different countries. The government should educate the public on the hazards of synthetic plastic waste, which worsens water, soil, and air pollution and has serious consequences for human health. In addition, education campaigns need to be launched, in which specialists can advise the general public that recycling is a great method to both reduce pollution and bolster personal finances.

In conclusion, recycling waste is crucial for all regions to reduce their impact on the environment. Campaigns should be launched by the government to inform citizens of the value of dumping.

(278 words)







Wild animals have no place in the 21st century, so protecting them is a waste of resources. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Include any pertinent instances from your personal experiences or knowledge as well as your justifications for your decision.

Write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Some people contend that because wildlife has been disappearing in recent years at an alarming rate, implementing any plan to safeguard endangered species would be a waste of money. However, I tend to reject this notion.

On the one hand, one could argue that the rate at which wild species are going extinct right now is indeed worrying. The rapid increase in the global population, which has led to an increased need for new buildings and roads, is one of the primary contributors to this deforestation. The efforts of human society to become self-sufficient in terms of food production have led to the destruction of natural ecosystems. Because of this, there have been fewer opportunities for wild animals to thrive, which has led to their population decline.

However, I believe it is critical to use resources to safeguard wild animals because I believe their preservation is critical for both living beings and a healthy ecosystem. If we do not take specific steps to save animal lives, the biodiversity and surroundings will suffer. As a result, it will have a disastrous result on the food sequence for all life forms. As a result, the government must take greater action to implement various methods to ensure the survival of wild animals. The government and the institution in charge of enforcing the law should also ensure that more zoos are built and organic areas are protected.

In conclusion, I will argue that wild animals are an important part of our world and that because of this, we should do everything that is in our ability to protect them. I will support this position by stating that wild animals are an essential component.

(279 words)









Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

The most significant life forms on our planet are those that live in the wild. Many people held the view that there was no reason to preserve modern animals as endangered species. This is something I completely disagree with since I think that these things are good for our society.

The first justification for conserving wild animals is that their hides are utilized in the production of leather, while their bodies are subjected to clinical trials of various medicines. To put it another way, if wild animals are not preserved or if people are unable to breed them in an appropriate manner, then these creatures will not be able to gain any benefits from this, and neither will humans be able to develop any new medical therapies.

The second advantage of having wild animals is that children are able to get fresh knowledge about such creatures by going to the zoo and interacting with them. People of all ages, but youngsters, in particular, receive knowledge about these animals' diets, appearances, and other features of their natural environments when they are confined in zoos. In addition, without the preservation of wild creatures, it would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to keep a healthy ecosystem.

As a result, I disagree with the notion that wild animals should not be conserved because they are necessary for children to learn about and expand their knowledge of, as well as for the production of various types of leather from their skin and the testing of medications. Wild animals are helpful for kids to learn about and broaden their knowledge.

(265 words)



According to others, spending money on protecting animals is incredibly wasteful because they don't do anything to advance humankind. I completely disagree with this viewpoint since I think they play an important role in the twenty-first century.

The fact that wild animals play an important role in the production of medication is the primary argument in favor of the relevance of these creatures. Researchers are now able to test a wide range of methodologies on animals as a direct result of technological advancements. It has been shown that cells from a wide variety of species have the potential to be exploited in the development of innovative treatments and therapies for a wide variety of diseases, including cancer and heart issues.

In addition, wild animals contribute to the maintenance of ecological balance in their respective ecosystems. In point of fact, animals, in addition to people and plants, are an integral part of the ecosystem. These components operate in tandem to have an influence and interact with one another in a chain. Because of this, we may draw the conclusion that changing one species will invariably have an effect on all of the other species. Therefore, if we continue to do nothing to stop the number of wild animals from falling, the ecological balance will eventually become unbalanced, which would have a severe influence not just on human life but also on plant life.

In conclusion, I believe that it is of the utmost importance to conserve animals since it is not only the outcome of human actions, but it also allows for the preservation of the natural equilibrium of habitat.

(269 words)



Most people believe wild animals have no place in the 21st century, despite being crucial to our planet. They believe protecting animals is a waste of resources and budgets and has little to offer humanity's growth. I disagree and feel all humans should work to protect animals.

Firstly, as I made clear above, many individuals believe that the existence of wildlife is a squandering of natural resources and government funds. However, there are far more advantages than drawbacks to coexisting alongside live creatures and people on the same planet. People must avoid destroying the plants, jungles, and forests that are necessary for maintaining the ecosystem's balance in order to protect the animals. Additionally, governments allot a specific sum of money each year for substances that are bad for human lives and health, such as cigarettes, alcohol, and other narcotics. We would have a better outcome and healthier humankind if these funds were used to safeguard animals.

Secondly, the idea that there would be no room for live wild creatures is preposterous and foolish. There are still parts of the planet that are unreachable to humans and inhospitable, which might be regarded as a paradise for animals, even if it is the 21st century and technology is continually developing. Therefore, if coexisting with animals on such a large planet is possible, then murdering them and bringing about their extinction are exclusively human follies and acts of selfishness.

After examining the key elements of the matter, I feel that the only reasonable conclusion is that not only authorities but also individuals should make every effort to conserve animals and prevent the loss of endangered species.

(273 words)







While some people consider global warming to be the most pressing environmental problem that we have at the moment, others believe that deforestation has a more devastating impact on our world. Discuss both and give your opinions.

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

At least for the time being, the earth is the sole home for our species, and two cataclysmic occurrences are killing the globe. Some people feel that the overexploitation of forests has had a greater influence on our planet than those who believe that global warming is the most pressing issue we must address right now. In my opinion, it is vital to explore the inherent details on all sides and reach a reasonable decision.

To start with, others believe deforestation can cause more severe environmental damage. In the beginning, fauna and flora may lose a vast array of habitats due to the escalation of human activities, which may degrade the condition of nature and ultimately limit biodiversity. Another intrinsic reason is that loss of trees may impact air quality. Forests are sometimes referred to as the lungs of the planet due to their ability to absorb greenhouse gases, such as the capacity to consume carbon dioxide and generate oxygen for our environment.

On the other hand, deforestation has several downsides. As stated previously, it will increase pollution since carbon dioxide emissions will be decreased. This will also reduce the likelihood of rain. In addition, the decline in outdoor and indoor air quality severely impacts human health, particularly the respiratory and cardiovascular systems. Additionally, deforestation damages animals and causes a social imbalance.







In conclusion, these issues have devastating consequences and are interconnected. Deforestation is one of the most important underlying causes of global warming. The government should impose some limits in order to maintain forests.

(254 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

The rising level of pollution has become a catastrophic problem. There are several contributing aspects to this condition. Moreover, these elements not only generate pollution but also create other problems. Although some individuals feel that global warming has the most harmful effects, others argue that deforestation is the greatest problem. This article will examine both perspectives and then examine my own perspective in succession.

To start with, global warming is the most devastating problem for a variety of reasons. Initially, it produces climatic change, such as a pronounced rise or fall in temperature. This mutation impacts both crops and animals. Importing ruined crops is necessary to meet the demands of the human population. Thus, the government faces a double loss of money spent on agriculture. and import costs. Nonetheless, whether variance also affects tree development, resulting in an increase in pollution since fewer trees consume less carbon dioxide, is yet to be determined.

On the other hand, the loss of trees and other vegetation is claimed to cause greater difficulties. The first reason is that animals unable to discover and adapt to new habitats would eventually starve to death. They may thus be on the edge of extinction in the foreseeable future. For instance, when it rains severely, there is a high likelihood of floods and soil erosion since there are no trees to absorb and retain the water. Hence, it is exceedingly unsafe for people to live near deforested slopes and mountains.

In conclusion, it is evident that both concerns are responsible for the devastating outcomes. Therefore, we must act immediately to simultaneously fight deforestation and global warming.

(269 words)









People believe that global warming is having a negative impact on the environment, while others say that deforestation has a greater impact. I would say that both concerns are crucial and require quick attention.

First and foremost, the temperature is rising due to the greenhouse effect, which is caused by the production of greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide. As a result, it affects the climate and the habitats of humans and other species. For instance, some researchers demonstrate that as temperatures fluctuate, ice is melting globally, causing polar bears to walk or swim large distances to reach the remaining ice and wait for seals. In addition, as a result of climate, change, precipitation has increased globally on average, causing flooding in certain parts, while others are facing severe drought.

Secondly, a rapid increase in the population has resulted in the destruction of forests as the number of people in many countries continues to increase. Similarly, deforestation is at an all-time high in these regions as a result of the need for more space in numerous populous towns. Consequently, the deforestation campaign is in full gear. Deforestation has caused several difficulties, including environmental damage, smog, and heat waves.

In conclusion, after reviewing the perspectives of both proponents, I believe that both issues are significant. But, to prioritize to avoid horrendous circumstances ahead. As one of the primary causes of global warming, I believe that deforestation should be addressed first. Consequently, if societies can eliminate the threat of deforestation, then environmental problems can be managed amicably.

(255 words)



Well, there is also an abundant school of thought. Many people believe that global warming is one of the greatest threats to climate change in the modern era, whereas a minority of people believe that deforestation has severe global consequences. Nonetheless, I believe that the second alternative makes more sense than the first.

First and foremost, we must recognize that the rate of deforestation greatly exceeds the rate of forest creation. The world's largest rainforest, the Amazon, which spans nine countries in South America, is being deforested at an alarming rate to satisfy the global demand for land, which many manufacturers require to establish factories. Due to this, many animal and plant species, as well as indigenous people, are being displaced from their native habitats. According to estimates, the Amazon is responsible for 20% of the world's oxygen production; therefore, the destruction of these forests will have nothing but negative effects.

In addition, the combustion of fossil fuels by factories, vehicles, and forest fires raises the earth's temperature, resulting in severe global climate change. This is evidenced by the current flooding in both India and China. In India's rural areas, the burning of crops at the end of the harvest period creates a cloud of smog that engulfs Delhi and is responsible for a large number of respiratory-related deaths.

To conclude, both global warming and deforestation pose grave threats to the continued existence of Mother Earth. A mature tree will absorb more than 48 pounds of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and release oxygen in an exchange over the course of one year. This clearly demonstrates how interconnected deforestation and global warming are.

(272 words)









Global environmental issues are the responsibility of richer nations, not poorer ones. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Include any relevant examples from your own experiences or expertise and your reasoning for your choice.

Write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Global warming is causing a number of problems for the ecosystem that are becoming worse every day. However, it is not plausible to blame industrialized nations for this on their own, and I do not believe this to be the case. So, I'll talk about what's triggering this issue and how to fix it.

Several actions are possible for governments in both poor and prosperous countries. To begin, developed nations have the resources to invest in innovative technologies that will eventually make gasoline-powered vehicles obsolete. Since environmentally-friendly automobiles are already existing, all that needs to be done is to lower the price of electric cars so that more people can afford to drive them. To achieve this goal, governments and businesses might provide financial incentives for the development and production of electric vehicles, such as lowering the tax burden on buyers of such vehicles.

In contrast, environmental damage is increasing over the globe due to the presence of different pollutants. To begin with, air and noise pollution are growing at an alarming rate due to the enormous number of traffic on the roads. To explain, the pollutants produced by these fuel-burning automobiles are not only hazardous to the environment but also contribute to urban noise pollution. Second, greenhouse gas emissions from industry cannot be ignored since both developed and developing nations construct factories to grow exports and strengthen their economies.







In conclusion, poor nations may teach their citizens about the significance of the environment, despite the fact that affluent governments have more professional procedures and people with the expertise to create the world's most environmentally friendly form of transportation.

(269 words)

Model Sample Answer 2 Band 7.5

Due to their major influence on human progress, environmental issues have attracted a great deal of media attention in recent decades. Even if it makes sense to say that wealthier nations should shoulder some of the burdens for these problems on behalf of the global community, I think every country should be held accountable.

There are a number of arguments in favor of the developed world taking on environmental responsibilities. First, compared to developing countries, wealthier nations have a larger number of internationally known scientists and environmental specialists. This suggests that working together might help them find a cleaner energy source to replace fossil fuel, the leading cause of greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, many capital investment activities in projects developing cutting-edge green technology may be reliably linked to the robust financial muscle of industrialized countries.

Environmental problems are strongly encouraged in several rising nations. First, third-world nations are building industrial parks with many factories quicker than wealthier ones, which may increase exhaust pollution. Local governments should reduce carbon and methane emissions to help the environment. Second, environmental ignorance in developing nations may lead to deforestation and overexploitation. Thus, local governments must build more schools to educate people about the dangers of environmental hazards. People would care more about the environment and safeguard it.

In conclusion, industrialized nations and developing countries have equal responsibilities for addressing global environmental concerns. It is imperative that both the governing bodies and the general populace of the world work together to find solutions to these issues.

(252 words)





Issues related to the environment have gained a lot of attention in the media in recent decades because of the impact they have on human progress. It's clear that the international community looks to the developed nations to handle these issues, but I think every country should do its part.

Environmental degradation is accelerating in many countries as a result of a variety of pollution sources. To begin with, traffic congestion is a significant contributor to the concerning increase in air and noise pollution. To be more specific, the exhaust from these internal combustion engine automobiles is both an environmental danger and a source of urban noise. Because both countries are building factories to enhance exports and economies, greenhouse gas emissions from industry cannot be overlooked.

Environmental concerns are important for developed nations. First, wealthy nations have more famous scientists and environmentalists than impoverished nations. Thus, replacing fossil fuel the biggest carbon emitter with a greener energy source is vital. Advanced green technology projects impact developed nations' finances. For different reasons, rising nations are aggressively urged to handle some environmental challenges themselves. Industrial parks may pollute the air. Local governments should reduce carbon and methane emissions to help the environment. Environmental illiteracy in emerging nations can cause deforestation and overexploitation. Local governments should open more schools to educate people about environmental concerns' detrimental impacts.

Not to put too fine a point on it, but nations that have commercialized as well as nations that are still developing are equally to fault for the state of the global ecosystem. All across the world, individuals and government authorities should work together to find solutions to these problems.

(274 words)









The degradation of the environment has been a topic that has received a lot of attention in recent years. Some people are of the opinion that the responsibility for protecting the environment should exclusively fall on countries with large amounts of wealth. I do not agree with this point of view, and in the paragraphs that follow, I will emphasize my views supporting my opinion.

To begin, developed countries should take on the responsibility since they have the resources to do so. For instance, wealthier nations have access to a wide range of specialists and researchers who are capable of devising some workable solutions to the problem of environmental degradation. In addition to this, affluent nations have access to far bigger financial resources than underdeveloped nations have. Because of this, they are in a better position to make investments in businesses that concentrate on energy-generating and recycling sectors.

However, despite their lack of resources, emerging countries are a major contributor to environmental problems on a global scale. The economies in these regions want to expand, but they don't want to throw any more money at the region's mounting environmental issues. In addition, industrialization is rapidly expanding throughout the world's developing nations, which results in the emission of significant amounts of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere and poses a danger to the natural ecosystems that are still present on the planet.

In conclusion, I disagree with the notion that only wealthier nations should be responsible for addressing environmental challenges. Despite their well-developed and ample resources, all nations contribute to the occurrence of environmental catastrophes.

(263 words)









As we are facing more and more problems which affect the whole planet, good relationships between different countries are becoming more important than ever before. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

As a whole, the entire world is facing various issues, be it pandemics, global warming, or even natural calamities. Some people believe that it is important for nations to be friendly and have a good relationship with each other now as compared to the past. I completely agree with this idea, because they will help others in need and not turn away.

To begin with, in recent years, the probability of earthquakes and hurricanes has increased considerably, posing threats to countries with vast ocean coasts. African countries, India, Australia, and so on have large coastal areas that can be destroyed and damaged by hurricanes and cyclones. Even earthquakes cause tremendous loss and damage to the country and its people. For instance, Turkey experienced a 7.8-magnitude earthquake, destroying the homes of several thousand people, hospitals, roads, and so on. To help the citizens of Turkey, countries from across the globe sent rescue teams and medical facilities, as well as food supplies and basic necessities.

Furthermore, recently the entire world faced the deadly Coronavirus. During the pandemic, all the countries helped each other by providing protection suits, vaccines, and medical supplies to the countries in need. Countries like Bangladesh, Pakistan, Bhutan, and so on were struggling a lot to provide for their citizens and sustain themselves during the pandemic. However, Pakistan suffered more, since it did not have a good relationship with the neighbouring countries except China. Hence, nations need to have a good relationship with each other.

To sum up, in times when everyone is facing identical problems, it is crucial for them to be united and cordial with each other. Being aloof and hostile will only worsen their situation.

(280 Words)



IELTS Prep Video Resources









For the sake of the planet, there must be universal peace. It is more important than ever to develop relationships among countries because people are facing an increasing number of challenges that could ruin the entire world. I completely agree that good ties with other countries are essential for people who reside there.

A good relationship is essential and useful for all countries. To begin, a government can support another country in difficult situations, like when a developing country is experiencing an economic or financial crisis, and if it has a good rapport with the developed nation, it can aid the former in overcoming the difficult time. Furthermore, terrorist activities have increased in the past few years, causing nations like Afghanistan, Iran, Iraq, and so on to fear for their people's safety. For instance, Afghanistan needed America's help to eradicate terrorism because it did not have the army and equipment required.

Moreover, good ties with other countries can also be beneficial in recovering from losses caused by natural disasters such as earthquakes or tsunamis. For instance, when Japan was destroyed by a tsunami in 2011, the United Nations provided it with 3,000 crores to help it restart the economy as well as rebuild the infrastructure. In addition to that, if the countries have a good understanding and friendship, the possibility of a war being declared decreases. A war does not just damage property; it also kills innocent people. Hence, it is beneficial for every country.

To conclude, while the entire world is facing various problems, a good bond between countries is very beneficial for all. This is because it decreases the probability of conflicts and also aids each other in dire times.

(283 Words)









In recent years, every country across the world has suffered from global issues like terrorism, poverty, diseases, and so on. In such scenarios, it is very important for the nations to be united and have a good relationship. I agree with the above statement. By being united, countries can work together and help each other.

Good ties among countries facilitate easier trading activities. With globalization, exports, as well as imports, have increased tremendously. There are a variety of things that are not available in every country. But without mutual trust and understanding between the two countries, trade cannot be done. It does not only make trade easier; it has also opened up opportunities for multinational companies to expand themselves in various countries. This has opened up employment opportunities for the unemployed people in developing countries, whose youth are suffering from unemployment due to limited job openings. For instance, due to these companies, many people in India have secured a well-paying jobs and recovered from their unemployed period.

Moreover, it is also beneficial in dire situations. In situations such as those where a natural calamity occurs, it causes massive destruction and harm to the living organisms residing there. For instance, the 2019 bushfires in Australia are known as the black summer. It caused widespread damage in various parts of Australia. During this period, various countries like India, Britain, America, Japan, and so on helped Australia in every aspect to recover from the losses and rebuild its properties. Hence, good relations between nations are important.

To sum up, everyone all over the world is encountering issues, and few people think that a rapport between countries is a must. I agree as a friendship will not only avoid conflicts but also be helpful in dire situations.

(293 Words)









There are numerous ongoing issues that affect all countries. To overcome or at least decrease these issues, I believe it is essential that all nations, from the East to the West, cooperate with each other.

Industrialization has contributed to certain severe issues that are impacting all countries. Since almost every country uses modern technology and facilities and played a role in the growth of such problems, they cannot be resolved by one country individually. For instance, global warming is a more serious issue that is caused by greenhouse gases. Needless to say, all industries and cars that use fossil fuels release carbon dioxide, a major greenhouse gas. Hence, global warming will continue to occur until all countries unite to reduce these emissions. Even the air conditioning units emit carbon monoxide, which is a very harmful gas, as excessive inhalation of this gas can cause death.

Moreover, issues such as these may be handled more smoothly if countries establish friendly relations with one another. Each country possesses experience, knowledge, and information about a situation that can be put to use to help avoid or minimize the issues. Every year, numerous disasters harm various countries and kill a large number of people. For instance, earthquakes do happen and cause numerous fatalities, but Japan has made progress in dealing with this issue. If Japan shares its earthquake expertise and experience, the casualties will be decreased.

In conclusion, I think that until all nations cooperate to tackle the global issues affecting every country, they cannot be resolved. Such concerns will be resolved if all nations combine their knowledge and agree to collaborate to resolve the issues.

(272 Words)









Some say protecting the environment is the government's responsibility, others believe individuals should be responsible for it. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

One school of thought holds that protecting the environment is the government's primary responsibility. Each individual has some degree of responsibility, as argued by the writer of this text. In this piece, we'll investigate who, precisely, is liable for ecological upkeep and protection.

Among other things, decades of apathy have led to a state of ecological collapse that requires a ground-up restoration effort. Efforts are being made by governments everywhere to adopt and severely implement legislation that increases punishment for environmental violators. However, the various pieces of environmental laws that have been passed will not be fully effective without popular support. A 2015 report from the France Department of Environment and Natural Resources praised the citizens of its cities for their role in the agency's successful plan to prosecute corrupt officials in their locality.

The good news is that more individuals than ever before are taking steps to save Earth. The belief that one person's actions may assist in changing the world's deteriorating status was the impetus for the founding of countless nongovernmental organisations. Among young adults, there is a rising trend for signing up for and participating in a variety of fundraising activities whose registration costs go toward helping to reconstruct disaster-stricken areas. In 2020, 50,000 participants from Bangladesh helped the government clean up the Ganga River.

I'd like to end by saying that I strongly disagree with the claim that only the government should be responsible for environmental renewal and safety. This is a difficult struggle since it requires cooperation between the government and the people to help and cure the damaged ecology.

(266 words)















We are all affected by global warming, and it is clear that we are all to blame for this disaster. The country's ruling party is not the only one to blame for this, so they are not the only ones who should be held responsible for solving this problem. Big companies should help by making their businesses more eco-friendly, and we should all do what we can to recycle and reuse items.

The world's main countries are gathering to sign a climate agreement, which includes a set of criteria that describe whether or not specific countries should participate in making the globe a better place again. And it is obvious that it is not only the work of the nation's ruling party that should be done but also the work of large manufacturing plants. Indeed, several companies have started investing in green energy to create environmentally beneficial products, such as H&M, which is now working on 80% renewable energy. Furthermore, H&M is promoting the recycling of its products.

The changing weather and rising heat are having effects on all of our daily lives. Even though our leaders are making strict rules to make sure that commercial plants produce less carbon, we also have some responsibilities. First, we can say "no plastic" and carry our own bags when we go shopping. Also, whenever it is possible, we should recycle the product so that we can delay the waste that item can make. The office workers can carpool to work to stop carbon emissions.

In conclusion, the changing climate is a problem that we all have to solve together, along with the government. I think that we should all help clean up the earth with the help of different policies. On top of that, big companies should take the same steps.

(300 words)



Who should take care of nature has always been a point of discussion. People often think that it's up to the government to protect the environment. This essay will talk about the government's duty to protect the environment.

First of all, the people of a country choose a government and each of its ministries to do things like take care of society and natural resources. When you are a group of people whose only job is to make sure people and nature are safe, you shouldn't rely on anyone else to do your job for you. For example, Ahmedabad's government planted 30% of the roads with trees. This is a great step that will lead to better air quality, fewer floods, and other good things for the people of their country.

Furthermore, it is unjust to expect anyone other than a region's authorities to perform a duty as monumental as protecting nature because only they have the resources to do so. The average person might plant one or two trees, but they can't quit their jobs to save the world. Country Administration has the ability to perform more ambitious tasks. Consider, for example, that they can fine companies with the most pollution and set up rules and procedures that do the least amount of harm.

In the end, the government is the only one responsible for saving the environment. Even if the people can take part and help, it is not as much their job as it is the job of the government.

(253 words)



Today, environmental problems are bigger and more important than they have ever been. Some people think that the government, big companies, and manufacturers can do most of the work to fix the damage done to the environment, while regular people can't do much. Even though I think the government and big companies need to act right away to save the earth, I also think that people have an important role to play.

The earth faces dangers, and the government must respond promptly and decisively. The government should invest heavily in public transportation and make owning a car difficult. They produce many greenhouse gases, which cause global warming. Companies should adopt reusable energy sources. Mass production puts natural resources at danger and creates rubbish and toxic toxins that destroy nature. They should only make as much as people want.

In addition to what the government and organizations must do to rescue the planet, individuals must also do their part. The small actions they take can have enormous consequences. For instance, how we dispose of household garbage can make a difference. If we separate biodegradable waste from non-biodegradable waste at the source, in people's homes, recycling will be greatly simplified. Recycling requires much time, money, and effort. In addition, when people learn more about the environment and recognize its significance, the globe becomes more stable and healthier.

In conclusion, governments and big companies must take drastic actions right away to save the earth, and people can still make a big difference in many ways that affect the earth's health.

(257 words)



The responsibility to prevent global environmental damage is on politicians rather than individuals. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

The rate at which the Earth is being harmed by human activities is concerning. As people become more aware of the troubling situation, more steps are being taken to address it. Although international governments play an important role in environmental health, it is widely accepted that their efforts do not nullify those of people. To demonstrate this point, I will draw on personal experience as well as non-governmental activities performed in my nation.

On the one hand, several non-governmental organizations have been making headway in the fight for a cleaner world. Many Canadian institutions, for example, have student organizations that strive to promote awareness of the lifestyle changes that people can make to protect the environment. These autonomous organizations assist in putting a face to a message and actually urge individuals to change for the sake of the natural environment. As this demonstrates, environmental programs do not have to be administered by the government to be effective.

Citizens' contributions to global cleanup efforts should not be overlooked. Every person may assist in delaying and stopping the damage by reducing energy use and fossil fuel use. People should switch to energy-efficient lighting; improve the efficiency of home appliances; bring their own shopping bags instead of using plastic, and use recycled or recyclable products to reduce waste, which can contaminate water resources. They should also use public transportation instead of their private vehicles to reduce greenhouse gas emissions or fossil fuel consumption. These small actions assist the environment.

To summarize, governments should undertake worldwide environmental preservation, but this issue cannot be effectively handled without the efforts of citizens, who play a vital role in minimizing environmental pollution.

(277 Words)











I believe that the government should be in charge of mitigating environmental harm. Every year, we turn vast amounts of resources into buildings, automobiles, plastics, and other products. In addition, a large number of species have become extinct.

Because we are consumers and spenders, I believe that global environmental problems must be addressed at the individual level. For a brighter future, we must modify our habits. For example, individuals practice bringing their own shopping bags when they go shopping, picking up second hand items, not leaving food unattended, and so on. In the case of primary industries, try not to harvest more animals, crops, and fish than necessary. Furthermore, before buying, consider whether the product is renewable.

However, the global ecosystem faces immense and complicated challenges, such as global warming, food waste, and rising CO2 emissions. These issues are now worsening year after year. As a result, they require government assistance in constructing recycling stations and promoting solar and wind power, to name a few. Solar and wind power, in particular, provide a lot of energy and are clearly efficient resources. The government should also help individual businesses that are attempting to fix these issues.

I would like to close this argument by stating that the global environmental crisis is not limited to a single country; it affects everyone on the planet. The government should fund these projects and issue a warning to the public. At the same time, we should adjust our habits to be more basic and prevent wasting resources.

(251 words)





Many people feel that governments alone should be responsible for protecting the global environment, whereas others believe that everyone has a duty to play in preserving the global ecosystem. In my opinion, governments and individuals have a responsibility to conserve the global environment, which will be discussed in further detail in the following article.

Firstly, reducing environmental pollution is a hard endeavour with several stages that require national and international initiatives. However, governments might reduce greenhouse gas emissions from industry and transportation, the planet's main pollutants. A good public transit system may minimize both private automobiles and their carbon dioxin emissions, which can be controlled by long-term government policies. Encourage enterprises to adopt solar and wind energy instead of fossil fuels. Governments should limit forest logging and residential trash, which harm the ecology and marine ecosystem.

In contrast to this, individual activities are vital for global environmental protection, though. Besides, individuals should utilize recycled or recyclable items to reduce trash, which can pollute water supplies. Water conservation and avoiding excessive usage can decrease water resource depletion. Furthermore, people may have to use public transportation instead of their vehicles to cut greenhouse gas emissions and fossil fuel use. People are urged to join in with environmental preservation initiatives to disseminate this message and educate others about environmental conservation.

Overall, I would like to conclude by saying that governments should be accountable for the protection of the environment; yet, I also believe that people play an essential role in the reduction of pollution.

(251 words)









The public is responsible for protecting the climate and mitigating global warming. I disagree since it's up to individuals who must obey regulations.

First, why are politicians' roles more popular? They can plan well in light of the fact that they have environmental knowledge. No one seems to obey our authorities' policies. India has banned moulded bags and encouraged residents to use alternatives. Hardly 10% of natives observe this rule; the remaining 10% use mould. Our national authority provides regulations, which students must observe. Volunteering preserves natural resources and tackles environmental problems. Volunteering must be demonstrated. Don't waste water, air, or soil. Decrease fuel consumption to reduce pollution. Lock downs during coronavirus epidemics reduced automobile usage and regenerated the ozone layer. Relying solely on the government to protect the climate is foolish. Future generations should prioritize this issue.

The public is frequently believed to be responsible for protecting the climate and averting global warming. I disagree since I think this is a jurisdiction problem. First, politicians can plan well because of their environmental knowledge, ineffective military policies. India banned plastic bags and advised alternatives. Few locals follow this regulation, using plastic instead. Students must follow only national government instructions. Voluntarism isn't isolated. Allure helps protect the environment and natural resources. Volunteering involves problem-solving. Save paper, water, air, and soil. Reduce fuel-powered vehicle pollution. The ozone layer recovered when the government imposed a lock down during the corona outbreak.

In conclusion, a lack of personal responsibility will result in failing to safeguard the environment, which is vital to both individuals and the government. This must be a priority for future generations.

(268 words)





The best way to solve environmental problems is to increase the price of fuel. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

It is asserted that the cost of fossil fuels should be increased in order to address environmental challenges. This essay will examine why this is a strong belief and share my opinion on the matter.

Firstly, many people think that the excessive use of crude oil is one of the root causes of the environmental issues our planet is facing and the only way to prevent this is to make the use of fossil fuels more expensive. Moreover, tons of carbon dioxide are released daily by vehicles and factories to the ozone layer, which has been depleted over time. Cloudburst is one of the major natural disasters in Uttarakhand caused by global warming and can be considered an alarm of disaster caused by nature.

The primary cause of global warming is petroleum. However, it is not possible to cope with the issue just by raising the amount of refilling the vehicles that run on fuel. Fuel is a blessing to modern society. People need it to generate power to maintain the standard of well-being. To fulfilling their needs, they will buy at any cost, no matter how expensive it is. For example, Investing in renewable energy sources to reduce their costs would be a more sensible solution. So that people could have cleaner and cheaper options of energy and fossil fuel would have a better substitute.

To sum up, I counter that making fossil fuels more exorbitant is the right approach to prevent earth's environmental issues. Whereas, Affordable alternatives to clean energy sources should be developed.

(254 words)











Nature can exist without humans, but humans cannot live without nature. However, there are a rising number of environmental issues that are faced by mankind. Some individuals believe that increasing the price of fuel is by far the most appropriate method of tackling this issue. I disagree with this statement because I feel that environmental education and lowering fuel usage are the most viable approaches to solving the environmental problem's primary cause.

Initially, environmental challenges are the negative results of human actions. Included in these were pollution, global warming, and ozone layer depletion. Hence, the benefit of environmental education is that it assists individuals in comprehending how their decisions and activities affect the environment. Spain with the highest environmental performance and air quality in the world, based on their European scoring system because of the National Environmental Education of Spain, which established the curriculum and developed an environmentally friendly program to teach their primary students the fundamental knowledge and skills necessary to address complex environmental issues, which can take action to keep our environment healthy and sustainable for the future.

Using less fossil fuel is a method of reducing air pollution. Humans should prefer walking or bicycle instead of vehicles as it produces nitrogen dioxides which lead to physical health issues. This also results in the country's Emissions of carbon dioxide into the environment having decreased significantly, leading to improved physical and mental health for the nation.

To conclude, the most effective way to address the increase in air pollution is for individuals to adopt a less fuel-intensive lifestyle and be knowledgeable about how global impacts touch their lives.

(265 words)



There is indeed a worldwide increase in environmental decline and disturbances to the natural environment. Increasing fuel prices is the most effective way to mitigate this issue. However, I disagree vehemently that it is the most effective strategy for addressing this issue.

First, increasing the cost of petrol will not instant solve the widespread problem that already exists globally. This is due to the fact the vehicles and machinery producing garbage remain static. This will merely make it tougher for the majority to acquire finances for fuel, whereas, the wealthy will have no trouble obtaining fuel. In addition, this strategy would have a substantial impact on the productivity and revenue of enterprises that require fuel to run. For instance, transportation businesses may be forced to increase their prices, which some clients may not be able to afford. Thus, the firm's services will be diminished, resulting in low profits. This can be bad for the economy overall.

It might be claimed that byproducts from car vehicle emissions released into the environment contribute to air pollution and that this can be reduced by indirectly reducing car use through fuel rising prices. However, it is essential to emphasize that limiting automobile use would not alter the baseline environment. Additionally, improved garbage disposal procedures will be adequate.

In summation, I strongly disagree with the statement that hiking gas prices will control the current environmental problems. This will only harm firm sales and national economic progress. In addition, we should identify further effective solutions to these issues.

(251 words)





The widespread usage of gasoline in automobiles, factories, and airplanes has wreaked havoc on the environment. Whether a rise in the cost of gasoline and diesel will reduce the environmental degradation we are currently experiencing is a matter of contention. I disagree with the statement that increasing the price of fuel is the greatest way to reduce damage to the environment.

Automobiles are acquired by everyone as a symbol of affluence, resulting in considerable traffic congestion in the majority of cities today. Increasing the price of energy will harm the lower classes of society, who may feel harmed. The most effective strategy to reduce traffic in the area is to impose a tariff on every automobile owner. Consequently, the majority of car drivers and prospective car drivers will decrease. Also, promoting the use of public transportation by maintaining an efficient transportation system, decreases road congestion. For example, if the railways and subways are in good condition, even the wealthy will want to utilize them, thus reducing the daily quantity of carbon dioxide emitted.

In addition, automobile manufacturers are currently experimenting with solar and electricitypowered automobiles as an alternative to fuels and diesel-powered vehicles. This inventory is a significant step in preserving the ecosystem and reducing atmospheric depletion. Secondly, automobiles and aircraft with fuel-efficient engines can also reduce environmental pollution. Finally, enterprises should appropriately dispose of trash to prevent the emission of harmful gases into the atmosphere.

In conclusion, increasing the price of fuel may not be the only way to minimize pollution, since such a move would have repercussions for both the buyer as well as the economy overall. Other measures, such as the usage of solar and cell-powered vehicles and public transit, can aid in the resolution of this issue.

(291 words)









The exploration and development of safe alternatives to fossil fuels should be the most important global priority today. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

With the recent statistics highlighted by scholars around the world, it has been suggested to us that there will be a shortage of fossil fuels in a few years. I completely bolster the opinion that finding the best alternative source must be the global priority.

The usage of energy in this ever-developing world is not likely to reduce, but the source from which this energy is drawn can be changed. At the Glasgow global summit, almost all world leaders mentioned that fossil fuels will end by the year 2050, if we do not start finding an alternative aggressively from now we will have to face a huge problem in the coming years as the regeneration of fossil fuels on an average takes more than thousands of years.

Moreover, the amount of pollution has sky-rocketed. Cities like Delhi have an air quality index of 400, this is due to the current usage of fossil fuels. Humans are increasing their carbon footprints daily as well. If such activities are not brought to a halt our earth would not be a livable place in a few years. The exponential increase in pollution will have a detrimental effect on our health as well.


However, we know that there are a lot of issues that we need to address before we pour all our attention into finding alternatives. The current Ukraine-Russia war has brought the global peace issue into the limelight. If we find alternatives to fossil fuels without tackling such a problem we will only put more fuel on the fire.

To sum up, it is evident that fossil fuel is a critical issue that needs to be immediately addressed by the whole world due to the shortage of fossil fuels.

(285 words)









Global warming is a major issue, for which the increased use of fossil fuels is to be blamed. Many perceive that rather than just feeling sad about this issue, the world should prioritize developing other ways to produce energy. I have a similar opinion, my preference is elaborated further.

According to recent reports in the Washington Post, the temperature of our planet is increasing by 4 degrees each year. With these numbers, the volume of the ocean will immensely increase, thus, reducing the mass of land. This problem should be the priority of the world as no one can do it single-handedly. Moreover, there are many nations that are not capable of inventing alternatives. In this case, other countries should put in efforts to tackle this situation cumulatively.

Furthermore, we need to comprehend the fact that fossil fuels are non-renewable sources. I would like to put forth the fact that usage of fossil fuels has caused a shift in tectonic plates. The mass extraction of fuels has emptied the reservoirs. This space can sooner or later cause some calamities like earth-quake and tsunamis, ultimately causing loss to human civilization.

On the flip side, there are tons of other problems like famine and drought which need to be addressed quickly before handling any other problem. There are millions of people living in such situations in which they are not even able to provide themselves with clean water and thus, they are all mostly suffering from malnutrition. Indeed fossil fuel is a global issue but we cannot skip this issue.

In conclusion, I firmly believe that new discoveries and exploration should be done immediately. If we do not find alternatives we will soon have to face a global crisis.

(286 words)



Over a period of time, it has been observed that the utilization of fossil fuels has skyrocketed. A group of people suggests that the whole world should take this notice seriously, thus, everyone should prioritize finding new alternatives. My stance is explained in the ensuing write-ups.

In recent years, the population around the world has increased dramatically, and it is anticipated to shoot up more in the coming years. With the statistics that are available to us, we currently do not have enough resources to cater to the needs of all in the future. We need to save for future generations and provide an alternative to them as well. It can be expected that the duration required for the invention will take a few years, if we do not start focusing on this subject right now there will undoubtedly be a global crisis in a few decades.

To add to this, it is evident that fossil fuels are an integral part of our life. An increase in the demand for fossil fuel will directly affect the daily lives of all the common man with meager wages. The rocketed cost of crude oil will unquestionably hike the price of basic necessities.

On the contrary, it cannot be disregarded that greedy humans are not capable of handling alternative methods. The recent event of the Beirut explosion has proved that under the influence of some authorities people might misuse alternative methods for their interests. The populace either abuses or rejects the advanced technology.

In a nutshell, I stand by my opinion and suggest that even though there might be some consequences for an alternative method, we must find them and restrict their usage to control problems that might arise in the future.

(290 words)







In light of the fact that we are overusing the primary source of energy which is fossil fuels. Many experts claim that the world should invest in finding substitutes for fossil fuels; I agree with this viewpoint.

To begin with, citizens of the world are facing soaring air pollution and global warming. The excess use of fossil fuels which are ultimately affecting our nature. Events like ozone layer depletion due to hazardous air pollutants are one such example. This international problem does not only concern that particular area but the whole globe, thus, this can only be solved if all the nations put in cumulative efforts.

To add, the majority of the energy used in our home, cars, and businesses today comes from fossil fuels. These essentials will not be affordable in the forthcoming years. These cannot be eliminated from daily use and thus, finding safe substitutes must be our priority. These crises will soon affect the world not only economically but also create unnecessary mental pressure. If we put in the effort from now these situations can be avoidable in the future.

However, world leaders say that there are other adversities that need to be addressed currently. With recent events we have seen that there are many nations that still do not have stable governments; in such cases, experts claim that change in government will even affect the policies made by previous leaders. They are worried if such changes are overruled by politicians the effort put in by researchers will all go in vain. Hence, they suggest that these problems must be sorted out before even tackling another issue.

To conclude, it is evident that fossil fuels are causing catastrophic environmental damage, albeit, other difficulties, I would still suggest that we should focus on finding safe alternatives.

(300 words)







With the increased global demand in oil and gas, undiscovered areas of the world should be opened up to access more resources. To what extent do you agree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Many new places are being discovered nowadays by the increasing demand for places for finding oil and petroleum. As a consequence, many healthy lands for farming and for residential purposes will be of no use after it. I disagree with this statement and my views regarding this will be discussed in upcoming paragraphs.

To begin with, the latest engines are energized by gasoline, which produces some harmful gasses known as greenhouse emissions, such as methane series, greenhouse gas, and carbon dioxide. Sadly, these gasses lure heat from the sun's rays, thus making the atmosphere hotter during a development known as heating. This is often one of the most important challenges faced by mankind. Though measures are being taken to manage the damage, this is often not enough and the world continues to suffer. For example, the melting of the polar ice cap has resulted in an overemphasized rise in water level, leaving shore communities homeless.

Instead, the administration should take advantage of this opportunity to investigate cleaner and renewable energy sources. We ought to invest in wind turbines, which may help to bring out electricity for the cities. They should also encourage their voters to abandon plastic in favour of a variety of useful materials in various locations throughout their cities.

To conclude, it's typically smart to not disclose some places on the planet. We would save them and their resources from the hands of those that are endeavoring to waste them. Those grease and gas should truly be given to our children and grandchildren for their use.

(258 words)









As the population in the world is increasing, the demand for oil and gas is also increasing. As a consequence, the unused land is searched for extracting oil and petroleum such as petrol, diesel, etc. I totally disagree with this view of searching for new land for extracting oil and gas.

To begin with, Many scientists have suggested a need for a distinct energy supply which is renewable like solar power, wind energy, etc instead of running behind the nonrenewable resources which are also harmful for the environment and also limited in their use. The system would be another energy source rather than fossil fuels because of its clean energy. To illustrate, nowadays, several automobile-producing federations have replaced their automobiles with eco-friendly cars that use electricity.

Secondly, there may be an increase in the temperature, which can have a direct result on heating. This is frequently due to the fact that newly-formed industries can pollute the air, water, and land. For instance, drilling for oils within the Arctic region has redoubled the CO2 in the air. The waste of all the industries, like envenomed fumes and liquid waste, can be managed so it'll have fewer results on the planet. Instead, we are able to consider renewable, untrained energy sources that are in the best interest of the world.

To sum up, though the need for fuel in the twentieth century is blatant, it's not logical to continue excavating new places. I think that the sole coherent state of affairs for quarrying undiscovered areas is once there's no alternative accessible resource and it ought to be the maximum amount that those places' residents would like.

(274 words)



Due to the increase in usage of oil and gas, researchers are thinking of discovering new land for extracting oil and gas more than at present. As all these resources are indispensable for surviving on the earth, I believe new land should be searched for extracting oil and all resources as without it living would not be possible.

On the one hand, there are plenty of advantages to finding oil and gas. First of all, when a country finds them, its economy grows at a rate that doubles every year. As an example, Saudi Arabia has become one of the largest businesses after it began to make a large number of oil wells. Another major advantage of reaching unknown areas is the likelihood of the invention of unpopular truths in this world. There's a chance of finding fossils in these places wherever individuals have not reached before.

Furthermore, the excavation of a brand new atmosphere to access fuel can't be inexpensive unless it had been the only supply of energy therein space that is supplied with the smallest amount of obliteration to nature. Additionally, that fuel ought to be used solely by the residents of these areas. It is better not to make money on the cost of providing energy than to destroy developing countries to get oil for evolved countries.

To conclude, the tendency of exploiting petroleum and coal for energy prerequisites is rising. It's essential to travel to those continents that don't seem to be within the reach of people in general, to hide a lot of space. I think this could be good not only for America but also for the people who will be coming back.

(280 words)





Due to the heavy usage of oil and gas, it is on the verge of extinction. Due to that reason, unused land for years is being searched for its users so that it can be used for a long period of time. I totally agree that unused land should be used for extracting oil and gas as they are not in use for any kind of thing.

For years fuel has been viewed as the best supply of energy. This viewpoint is supported by a number of factors. First, we tend to area the habitans of these places to access this fuel. Secondly, the pollution that's made by it's out of the question. Consequently, this pollution is climbing the weather temperature, and that's worsening this destruction. Lastly, the pervasiveness of physical illnesses has been increasing because of this pollution. Hence, using additional resources isn't in the best interest of the planet and its inhabitants.

Although the new locations will provide vital energy to the population, they will expedite global warming. The roots of temperature change within the world lie in the increase of dioxide that's altering the ozonosphere. Reports from the United Nations say that people should switch from using fossil fuels to using green energy within ten years to save a lot of people from global warming. be an honest call if humanity comes to an end negatively impacting our nature.

To conclude, the government ought to take measures to prevent dilated use of alternative energy. Moreover, setting the boundaries would possibly bring calculated results. All the same, there are numerous directions displayed if folks try to look over the untouched house.

(274 words)





In spite of advances made in agriculture, many people around the world still go hungry. Why is this the case? What can be done about this problem?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Despite the fact that agricultural science has made great strides in the past few decades, people all over the world continue to go hungry every day. In my perception, this is due to the fact that breakthroughs rarely have an effect on the developing world, and the best way to address this is to allocate humanitarian financing towards these advancements. The problems and solutions are discussed in the ensuing paragraphs.

Scrutinising the problems, the primary reason for such an event is the inability of the developing world to effectively apply more modern agricultural technologies. Reasons for this vary from country to country but typically include a lack of resources, outdated infrastructure, and political instability. For instance, in many African countries, where the prevalence of undernourishment is among the highest in the world. Countries like the Congo have been destabilised over the course of several decades due to a series of revolutions. As a result of years of economic negligence, the government can only afford to subsidise agriculture to a little extent. These issues, which are present in many nations but to varied degrees, may help explain why hunger persists in so many areas.

Examining the solutions, the first and foremost remedial step is to stop spending humanitarian aid money on food, as I think it would be better spent on agricultural infrastructure and education. Long term benefits would be greater if the funds were instead invested on farm infrastructure improvement, the distribution of new agricultural tools, and the dispatch of trained professionals to teach those in need.

In nutshell, there are a lot of obstacles that emerging companies must overcome before they can benefit from modern agricultural models, and more resources should be allocated to teaching these countries how to use these models and no individual will be left hungry.

(300 words)









69



Many people still live in poverty, it is reported, despite the recent favourable advances in the agricultural sector. There are two primary contributors to this, and the situation can be remedied efficiently if action is taken quickly.

The first and foremost for such an occurrence is, the decrease in available farmland is primarily to blame for this issue. Rapid urbanisation in this modernising era is requiring the destruction of forests and farmland in many regions of the globe. However, as less land is available for farming as a result, food supplies become more precarious. The global food supply is also a major contributor, as we will see in the second point. Food grains are commercial supplies, therefore a disproportionate amount of this would go to the wealthy countries that can afford it. Many developing nations risk having insufficient food supplies because of wealthy countries' surpluses.

First, among the potential alternatives, is the prohibition of all non-agricultural uses of the land. It is recommended that at least one-third of a nation's land be used for agricultural purposes. If this comes to fruition, then a nation can achieve food self-sufficiency and achieve independence if it adopts modern agricultural practices. The next issue, an imbalance in the food supply, can be efficiently addressed by organisations like FAO enacting regulations to meet the minimum food requirement worldwide (Food and Agricultural Organization). Charity groups' efforts to feed the hungry are also worthy of praise when they succeed in doing so.

To recapitulate, food scarcity persists despite advances in agriculture, due to factors such as the decline of farmland and inefficient distribution of food. The problems that result from this, however, can be lessened if the right measures are taken by the relevant authorities. (288 words)





Despite agricultural breakthroughs, many people are still hungry. As without food it becomes difficult for the individuals to survive. The problems and the solutions are discussed in the ensuing paragraphs.

The world produces enough food to feed everyone, but 690 million people still go to bed hungry. After a decade-long decline, 8.9% of people worldwide are starving. First, food production can't keep up with the population. Despite advances to increase agricultural output, global warming caused climatic changes like non-seasonal heavy rainfall or droughts, as well as natural disasters, tend to devastate tonnes of crops, leading to a stock deficit. Globalisation has increased demand for grains and cereals to make exotic dishes, so more people eat them. The wealthy benefit while the poor struggle to eat two meals a day. Inflation has caused fertiliser and food production costs to rise, making food unaffordable for the average person. Recession and overpopulation have increased unemployment and poverty, worsening world hunger.

This situation can be overcome with effective steps. Climate-smart agriculture helps farmers adapt to a less-predictable climate. These include crop diversification and conservation farming. Forced migration is another cause of hunger. In addition to this, reducing one's own food waste can be part of a radical shift to reduce food waste. Invest in disaster risk reduction to protect crops from natural or manmade disasters. Crops are threatened by drought, flood, pests, and fungi. Protecting them with aerial sprays is necessary. Food storage must be improved by using sun drying, bio fortified grains with essential nutrients, or using hybrid seeds to increase farm output.

World hunger affects millions of people worldwide, but some precautions such as preventing by reducing food wastes and by adding additional nutrients and many more for preservation and most importantly awareness can help mitigate the problem.

(297 words)









In recent times, it can be observed that a significant advancement has been made in the field of agriculture. However, many people still go hungry. Both, the causes and the solutions behind food scarcity are discussed in the ensuing paragraphs.

To begin with, the first and foremost reason for such a cause is that a surging population is the key reason for people still going hungry despite improvements in agriculture. The rate of population increase has skyrocketed during the past decade. However, food production has not grown to the same extent. It's inhumane to shorten or lengthen the time needed to cultivate a crop. Furthermore, many people squander food everyday, which results in food shortages for others. For instance, restaurants, where large quantities of food are prepared but, inevitably, some of it goes uneaten. The leftovers are thrown away to prevent health issues from spoiled food.

Few remedies to overcome such a problem, everyone will soon be able to eat twice a day. The first order of business is to stop wasting so much food. It's not good practice for eateries to prepare meals ahead of time, but rather to do so only when customers order them. If we use food as an example, the management team needs to be well-versed on the topic so that they can minimise food waste. Food waste of this magnitude can be prevented on a daily basis. Also, population growth must be reined in. The greater the population, the more severe the food deficit. It will take international legislation to control population expansion.

To sum up, population expansion and food misuse are the most pressing problems we face, leading to widespread hunger if nothing is done to slow the rate at which the world's population expands.

(292 words)



Even though globalization affects the world's economies in a very positive way, its negative side should not be forgotten. Discuss.

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

Globalization has been slowly encroaching on practically every nation on the planet over the past few decades. Despite the fact that the global economy is growing favourably, I believe it is crucial to consider its long-term drawbacks.

On the one hand, globalization benefits people's quality of life. Through technical advancements, the local economies have numerous options to raise the standard of their goods and diversify their sources. Additionally, the vast bulk of markets, labour, and minerals are only employed to further economic expansion. For instance, certain win-win partnerships enable multinational corporations to generate sizable profits at reduced tax rates and investment costs. Developing nations may use modern managerial expertise and tools, which helps to boost their national economy.

On the other hand, it's thought that domestic goods could not last very long, which would be bad for many neighbourhood companies. Richer nations have the chance to profit from the market and advance economically. Furthermore, the spread of infectious diseases like the coronavirus, which is rife in many areas, poses a major threat as a result of globalization. The disease can spread quickly because of the region's extensive tourism business and the commodity trading industry. In this sense, globalization may hinder the growth of the economy in various ways.

In conclusion, nearly all nations that have reached full development and are prepared to participate in the global market rely heavily on globalization to drive their economies. However, it has a negative impact on industrialized countries' overall economic and labour market development.

(250 words)











People have differing views on how globalization affects different countries' economies. Some contend that it is obvious that globalization has resulted in significant economic expansions and an increase in job prospects throughout the world, particularly in developing nations. Critiques have been made, nevertheless, to draw attention to the harm that globalization has done.

Since globalization's conception 30 years ago, some emerging nations have experienced economic growth and development. When the majority of large international firms relocated to countries with lower tax rates and labour costs, it had a significant impact on countries like China, India, and South Africa, which had previously struggled with economic development. In less developed nations, this specific wave has given rise to a vast number of new firms. Therefore, globalization has considerably benefited both the economy and employment.

Even though other economists and sociologists disagree, they contend that globalization has just transferred economic opportunities from developed to poor nations rather than creating new jobs globally. Because of this, China is creating a lot of jobs, whereas the United States is having a high unemployment rate.

They contend that globalization promotes dependence on other nations, making it very probable that other nations' economies will suffer if one of them experiences economic collapse. The global economic crisis of 2008 provided a clear example of how this dependence across countries impacted the crisis in a very bad way.

In conclusion, while data suggests that globalization has negative effects on industrialized countries in terms of economic and labour market growth, it plays a significant role in advancing economic and employment improvements in emerging countries. Another issue in regard to globalization is the unhealthy reliance among nations.

(276 words)







Although it is a relatively new phenomenon, globalization has greatly improved our modern way of life. Globalization's more positive effects result in less inequality and separation between nations, yet its negative effects also require careful consideration.

It is obvious that the effects of globalization have made international trade and travel more convenient, comfortable, and accessible. People may now reach their destinations in a matter of hours thanks to economical air travel. All countries can buy international products, including McDonald's. Trade and industry have increased, creating huge job openings. Nations may now keep amicable relationships with ease. Globalization has reduced economic disparities between nations.

On the other side, it is asserted that the wealthier nations are getting richer as a result of the benefits of globalization. They can establish their foundations in developing or impoverished nations, use the natural resources found there, and steadily increase their wealth. The best example of this is the Coca-Cola Company in India. With globalization, more new products are available and individuals have more choices. The market is now more competitive as a result. As a result, local trades may not endure in the long run, which would be detrimental to many local enterprises. Brain drain is a phenomenon experienced in all developing countries. The overwhelming influence of global media and TV networks puts the local customs and cultures at danger.

Taking everything into account, even if there are numerous downsides to globalization, I think the benefits are more evident and prominent. As a result of the benefits it provides, this tendency is favourable. Strong international ties are a positive trend as long as there are fewer international conflicts, which would make the globe a better place for future generations.

(284 words)









People all throughout the world have seen the effects of globalization during the last few decades. These people have divergent opinions on how globalization affects the world economy. Some contend that globalization has undoubtedly led to swift economic expansion and better job possibilities globally, particularly in developing countries. However, rebukes have been levelled to bring attention to the drawbacks of globalization. The following essay will therefore examine the effects of globalization from both angles.

Without a doubt, globalization improves our quality of life for a number of reasons, including the pooling of all resources, aiding in the development of underdeveloped nations, promoting free trade among nations, boosting economic growth, advancing technology, and bringing down unemployment rates by increasing employment opportunities. Furthermore, the globalized economy has opened up economic opportunities for underdeveloped nations, leading many multinational businesses to expand their business operations outside of their home countries.

However, there is no denying that globalization has also had negative effects, such as the outsourcing of jobs from one country to another due to the availability of cheap labour in another, which has led to unequal economic growth where richer countries frequently benefit more than developing countries. Furthermore, by utilizing cheap labour from developing nations, global corporations dominate local and small firms. Numerous cases exist where businesses exploit unskilled people and pay them appalling wages.

In conclusion, there are two sides to every coin, and globalization is no different. Despite its significance in driving economic and job development in developing countries, globalization has a detrimental influence on economic and labour market growth in wealthy countries. Because of this, some people laud globalization for bridging political and cultural gaps. Others claim that it wrecks local economies.

(282 words)









Missions to explore space are hugely expensive, and there are problems on Earth which demand attention. The number of these missions needs to be reduced. Do you agree or disagree?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

You should write at least 250 words.

Model Sample Answer 1 Band 8

As technology has advanced, there has been an increase in worry about missions that involve research and exploration beyond the planet. Due to the excessive cost of space travel, I agree that we should transfer funding and focus on world issues by reducing the number of these discoveries.

First and foremost, it is without a doubt acknowledged that space exploration is important to our scientific community for a variety of reasons. These missions are given a lot of funding. Engineers and doctors are needed in addition to technology and infrastructure. These endeavours recruit the best in their disciplines, diverting their attention from humanity's biggest problems. Additionally, it allows gaps in learning and innovation in certain sectors. The Harvard Research Institute's 2018 study found that 70% of its scientists knew one or two space scientists that could help them with their research.

Space programs are expensive, but they don't make money or save lives. They gratify human curiosity about galaxies. Instead, capital-intensive projects like poverty eradication and portable drinking water can employ these monies. Additionally, these resources can be used to support nations with little resources. NASA reported spending \$15 billion on space missions in 2019. However, in 2019, the Cleveland Clinic estimated that it would need \$5 billion to provide free medical care to all Americans. This shows that space travel should be limited because it can improve people's lives.

In conclusion, the question of whether expensive space missions should be cut remains contentious. I agree with the idea that these activities should be limited and the funds used to address global issues like poverty and food shortages. (268 words)



IELTS Prep Video Resources









While there are difficulties on Earth that need to be addressed, space exploration missions are highly expensive. Therefore, it is necessary to reduce the frequency of these operations. I share the opinion that solving the current issue would be preferable to spending money on trying to discover the unknown.

Humanity has long been fascinated by space flight. Man has employed a variety of techniques throughout history to discover life outside of the Earth, which is now the only place where it is possible. In contrast to travel between two nations, going from one planet to another requires special equipment. In addition, before beginning an adventurous adventure, travellers need to receive training. Therefore, it costs money to carry out a space mission in terms of both resources and labour. On the other hand, uncharted regions of the Earth call for financial support. Disasters including earthquakes, cyclones, and poverty are affecting many nations. These accidents cause human lives to be lost, and no assistance was provided.

Before sponsoring research, the government should take its citizens into account. While studying other planets is vital, it is not as important as providing aid to countries that are in need. It is not necessary for the government to halt all space exploration efforts; rather, careful financial management is essential.

In conclusion, as was mentioned before, a phenomenon that has not been investigated by human eyes is always going to be set up to be more intriguing. Nevertheless, our first focus is the location that we inhabit at the moment.

(254 words)









Because space exploration takes a lot of money and time, other problems that a lot of people face on Earth get less attention. People think that we can solve these problems by cutting down on the number of space missions. This essay will talk about why I think it's up to each country to decide how much money they want to spend on space travel and solving other problems.

We've come a long way since the first step on the moon. We have been able to find out about changes in the weather in time. Even though these missions didn't tell us about global warming until it was too late, the satellites that roam the sky have helped us connect the whole world. So, I think that these countries, especially the wealthy ones, can keep going on their space missions. These missions can help everyone a lot by letting us know quickly when changes are happening on Earth.

But there are other problems that also need a lot of attention, like hunger, poverty, bad health, and lack of education. It is also the governments' job to pay attention to these problems. Developing and less-developed countries should only worry about these issues. Spending most taxpayer money on space exploration rather than solving other key problems can cause social instability. India spent a lot of money on a failed lunar journey, which could have been used to improve people's lives. In short, money needs to be put toward space missions and other problems in a smart way.

To conclude, space exploration distracts from other issues, but sector budgets should be based on the country's economic situation. This is the only method to conquer alien worlds and address global challenges.

(287 words)







These days, numerous nations compete with one another over space exploration. Space exploration should involve fewer flights as flying is expensive and there are many problems on Earth that need to be addressed. In this post, I'll explain why I wholeheartedly concur with the notion that there should be fewer missions.

The focus required to explore space and see how it appears spans years. Some people like to look for a new home and wish to learn more about it. It is undoubtedly incredibly expensive to take a journey like this in today's society, where many people struggle to make ends meet and locate employment. For instance, some parts of Asia and Africa lack access to clean water and food, therefore the governments of those regions may strive to find a solution and assist this underprivileged society. In addition, there are a lot of issues with health and education.

The pollution did, in fact, get worse over time. The reason for this is due to the flying motion caused by travel and space missions. In this case, lowering the number of flights could help to preserve the environment and promote the idea of an ecosystem buddy. By choosing a private company, they are in charge of maintaining the environment's cleanliness and creating more green space in urban areas. Despite the fact that it would have a detrimental impact on the economy, it will also produce the best results for the county's natural region.

In conclusion, emissions for space exploration must be reduced in the upcoming years so that our children can continue to grow up in a healthy environment.

(269 words)







Choose Your Next Step

Get 1-on-1 IELTS coaching from experts at 25% off!

Apply Coupon: WELCOME25 for 25% off

9597306237

Enroll in our IELTS live group class led by 5+ yrs experienced trainers.

() 9597306237

Take 3 full-length practice tests for just ₹799/-

9597306237

Use our IELTS prep video resources to reach your full potential.

CLICK HERE

All The Best!

